

COMMISSION CONFERENCE**June 2, 2004**

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Page</u>	
I-A	Voluntary Employee Benefits	1
I-B	Engineering Division – Workload Priorities	1
II-A	Additional Payment Facilities	3
II-B	WaterWorks 2011 Sewer Connection Grant Program – Proposed Revisions	5
II-C	Report on Contract Extensions	5
II-D	Report on House Bill 1393 – Annexation of Broadview Park	5
IV	City Commission Reports	5
	1. Speed Humps/Coral Ridge	5
	2. City Policy	7
	3. Trailer at Las Olas Circle	8
	4. Outside Counsel Foreclosures	8
	5. South Middle River	9
	6. Homeless/Broward and Andrews	9
	7. Code Advisory Committee Recommendations	11
	8. Committee/Broward League of Cities	12
V	City Manager Reports	
	None Given	

Present: Mayor Jim Naugle
Vice Mayor Dean J. Trantalis
Commissioner Christine Teel
Commissioner Carlton B. Moore
Commissioner Cindi Hutchinson

Also Present: Alan J. Silva, Acting City Manager
Harry A. Stewart, City Attorney
Jonda K. Joseph, City Clerk
Sergeant At Arms – Sergeant Anthony Vinson

I-A – Voluntary Employee Benefits

Steven Chapman, Assistant Finance Director, stated that staff needed some further direction from the Commission regarding the Voluntary Employee Benefits Program for the IAFF and FOPA. He explained they could go through an RFP process and sort out the vendors and insurance companies, or they could use Hartford Insurance who they currently had a contract with who offered all the same lines of insurance. He stated the question would be whether Hartford is competitive with the other vendors. He stated at this point they need to have Lloyd Rhodes, the City's benefit consultant, look at the competitiveness of Hartford Insurance versus everyone else. He stated that would be the quickest way to add these services. Otherwise, they would have to go through the RFP process. Staff needs direction from the Commission as to which way to go.

Commissioners Hutchinson and Moore both stated that staff should issue an RFP.

Mayor Naugle asked what was the opinion of the FOPA. Mr. Chapman stated that they wanted the benefits, and he assumed they were agreeable to an RFP.

Commissioner Moore reiterated that it was good to see what the competitors had to offer because they could then return with a better product.

Vice Mayor Trantalis felt it was good for the consultant to check on the rates and make some sort of comparison. Mr. Chapman stated staff had approached the consultant to do a brief study and that information could be used in the preparation of the RFP.

Commissioner Moore reiterated that an RFP should be put out and allow the different product lines to present their products.

Action: RFP to be issued.

I-B – Engineering Division – Workload Priorities

Commissioner Moore left the meeting at approximately 1:41 p.m.

Peter Partington, Acting City Engineer, stated staff wanted to give the Commission the opportunity to review the resources available for the projects. He explained this was not a long term plan, but a "snapshot" of what they were working on with available resources. He advised that they would provide an updated list through Friday memos.

Commissioner Moore returned to the meeting at approximately 1:42 p.m.

Mr. Partington further stated that some of the areas they wanted to flag were traffic engineers, transportation, engineering/planning functions. Staff believes that the traffic engineer should work on the City's major projects, such as the 9th Connector, and A-1-A Seabreeze Project.

Mr. Partington stated that another area is the work regarding Broward County's projects within the City. Traditionally, Staff has been the intermediary between the State, City and the residents for Department of Transportation projects. He advised there are a number of such projects about to begin that are in the planning stages.

Mr. Partington continued, stating that another area to flag was further reduction in resources which affected some assessment projects, such as Dolphin Isles. He announced that public hearing is scheduled for July, 2004. He added that the final area to flag is that they were down to one landscape architect who had to cover projects that historically had been covered by the City Engineer. He stated that now he and Mike Fayaz would have to cover such projects.

Commissioner Hutchinson stated that some assessment projects were done, but the monies had not yet been obtained, and she felt they needed to finish those started before starting something else.

Mr. Partington stated that logically that was true, but in regard to the Dolphin Isles and 33rd Avenue project, thousands of man-hours had gone into reaching this stage and to not to continue to work on it expediently would be risking all those efforts. Ideally, they wanted to close out the projects mentioned and move forward on Dolphin Isles. He stated that within the next few months something would have to give.

Commissioner Hutchinson asked when the projects would be closed out. Mr. Partington stated that public hearings also had to be held on this. He further stated that he assumed the Engineering Design Manager would begin the work on Dolphin Isles once the public hearing was held. It was his understanding that it was going to take a couple of months to bring each forward for hearings. He remarked that would mean staff would not work on any new projects.

In regard to the 7th/9th Street Connector, Commissioner Hutchinson realized it was a project supported by the City, but as it related to the MPO, it is 50th or 59th on their priority list. She asked if it had to be placed higher on the list in order to proceed through the system.

Commissioner Moore informed everyone that it was presently 56th on the list, whereas it previously stood at #8. He knew a vote had been taken about two months ago regarding changing its position on the list. He stated they were informed today that it was still 56th on the list. It may be an issue having to do with the MPO staff that will need to be addressed.

Commissioner Hutchinson asked if it stayed at #56, what would happen. Commissioner Moore stated it would not remain in that position. He stated a vote had already been taken to move it up on the list.

Mr. Partington stated that Dennis Girisgen will provide further clarification on the matter, but it was his understanding that there was funding for them to proceed to detail design. He stated it will take some time, and within that time frame, things could happen regarding priorities.

Dennis Girisgen, Engineering, stated basically he was being told by Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) that if the priority ranking did not move from #56 to a lower number, then they probably would not get additional funding. The project is "hanging by a string". He stated that presently there is \$500,000 which includes the City's local match of 12 ½% to initiate the details. He explained it would not cover all the design details. Originally they had \$1.6 million and the agreement was signed by the City and forwarded to FDOT, but at the 11th hour they returned with the change in the funding.

Commissioner Hutchinson asked if Commissioner Moore could keep them apprised of how things progress. She asked that if it stayed at #56, would it continue to be something that staff should spend time on, when FDOT was not going to supply any funding. She realized it was a "Catch-22" situation. Mr. Partington stated that the crucial step is signing the contract to go to detailed design. Once the consultant is hired and they are under detail design, inevitably it would require significant oversight of the consultant to keep on top of the project. Commissioner Hutchinson asked if the detailed design would begin while the project was listed as #56. Mr. Girisgen confirmed.

Action: No objection voiced to the workload priorities delineated in Memorandum 04-734.

II-A – Additional Payment Facilities

Commissioner Hutchinson felt this was a great idea.

Vice Mayor Trantalis asked if the service fee to be collected is for the cost of utilizing a service. Mayor Naugle stated it is for the vendor that offered the deal. Vice Mayor Trantalis asked if The Check Cashing Store is the only vendor they are consulting.

The Acting City Manager explained that it is not exclusive. Vice Mayor Trantalis stated the concern brought to his attention was that some of these stores are also pawn shops. He asked if the name was the name of a specific entity.

Bud Bentley, Assistant City Manager, stated that was his understanding. Mayor Naugle asked if the Commission wanted to make the arrangement available only to non-pawn shops. Vice Mayor Trantalis confirmed and stated he felt the City might be associating themselves with a type of business that they might not want to be associated with, and if it was strictly a checking cashing store where one could also make utility payments, then it would make sense. He did not feel it would be appropriate to deal with another level of business.

The Acting City Manager stated that the agreement would be with Check Cashing Stores Financial Services, Inc. (CCS), and he was not aware whether they operated pawn shops. There is a list of all locations available.

Commissioner Moore stated it was admirable to attempt to provide a convenience to the taxpayers, but he did not like a relationship with these stores. He felt they would be offering the constituents a situation where they would have extensive service fees. The City would also be asking that citizens pay an additional \$1 for the convenience for every transaction of paying their bill, as well as having service restored. He commended staff in looking for a method to outsource this, but he felt there could be other vendors to consider. He would be willing to consider such a situation with a banking or financial institution, but not support only offering this to the one vendor.

Mayor Naugle reiterated that it was non-exclusive, and stated that anyone who was willing to do this at that price could bid. Commissioner Moore stated that without others on the table, he really did not want to deal with The Check Cashing Store.

Mr. Bentley stated they had reached out to the banking institutions, and they all remembered that was the service they had done in the past, but none were interested in providing such a service in today's market. He stated they could look further and asked if Walgreen's or Eckerd's had been contacted. He was informed they had not been contacted.

Commissioner Moore stated he felt that would be an additional resource due to their location and availability, as well as constituents utilizing such stores on a more regular basis. He felt that would be a more wholesome environment. He added that he was not downgrading check cashing stores because some banks would not enter into certain areas of the City.

Mayor Naugle stated that he suspected that 99% of the people paying their water bills at a check cashing store were probably there to pay other bills also. Commissioner Moore stated he did not assume anything. He further stated he wanted staff to check on the availability for another chain to offer the services, such as Walgreen's.

Commissioner Hutchinson stated she realized they were attempting to offer a convenience to the public, and if they chose to use it, then they had to pay the extra \$1. Otherwise, they would have to come to City Hall and pay the bill. She stated that many people utilized those stores. She stated she chose not to use them, but that was her choice. She encouraged staff to explore other alternatives. She reiterated that FPL and phone bills were paid at such stores.

Commissioner Moore reminded the Commission the type of environment a check cashing store proposed for an area. He reiterated that he was opposed to this store.

Mr. Bentley asked if staff should continue moving forward with the check cashing store. Commissioner Moore replied they should not move forward until they had an alternative available. Commissioner Hutchinson stated that staff should begin to look for other places. Mayor Naugle also offered to assist in making some of the calls.

Action: Consensus not to proceed with CCS Financial Services (Check Cashing Stores) and to actively seek out additional options such as Walgreens.

Commissioner Moore left the meeting at approximately 1:58 p.m.

II-B – WaterWorks 2011 Sewer Connection Grant Program – Proposed Revisions

Commissioner Hutchinson commended Paul Bohlander on his good work.

Action: None taken.

II-C – Report on Contract Extensions

Commissioner Hutchinson stated that on the second page of contract extensions, she would like to see them go out again with an RFP for a Medical Director of Services.

Commissioner Moore returned at approximately 2:00 p.m.

Mayor Naugle stated that the memorandum discussed the cost of the vendors related to fuel. All extensions would be renewed except for Medical Director of Services.

Commissioner Hutchinson stated that if they were going to allow staff to proceed for a new Medical Director of Services, then they needed to bring in the individuals who would be working with that person to provide input for the RFP. Mayor Naugle stated that emphasis also needed to be placed on having individuals available for training.

Action: RFP for Medical Director of Services to be done. Input is to be sought from individuals who will utilize services of the Medical Director. Training should also be a consideration. There was no objection voiced to the remaining items in Memorandum 04-708.

II-D – Report on House Bill 1393 – Annexation of Broadview Park

Mayor Naugle suggested that a letter be sent stating that until things changed or the County decided to come in the costs were too high for the City.

Commissioner Hutchinson stated that she agreed.

Action: Letter is to be sent expressing dismay that annexation of Broadview Park cannot be supported due to costs.

IV – City Commission Reports

Speed Humps/Coral Ridge

Commissioner Teel stated that Coral Ridge Homeowners Association had put in many traffic calming devices known as speed humps.

Commissioner Hutchinson left the meeting at approximately 2:02 p.m.

Commissioner Teel continued stating that such speed humps had been placed at Middle River Drive, both north and south, at 26th Street, and also at other areas further north,

such as Bayview Drive and The Landings. She stated there was one area that was not adequately dealt with, and that was NE 26th Street between Middle River Drive and Bayview Drive. She stated that there were a lot of cars in the area, about 7,000, and there had been a number of studies done for the area that showed an increase in a number of speed limit violations. She stated that from Wilton Manors heading east, there was a wide 5-lane street which crossed Federal Highway, and turned into a residential two-lane street. She stated that about one year ago, the residents had funded a master plan study and had done a great job. She stated further that many professionals lived in the area and had done an excellent plan, but there was no provision at that time to identify a funding source for the plan. She stated it was "on the shelf," but they were moving toward it for the future, and stated that it would deal with traffic calming. She stated that for the short term, the Association was proposing to pay for 2 speed humps out of their fund with the understanding that they were to be temporary. Then, when the master plan was implemented, they could be removed if it interfered with the plan.

Commissioner Teel stated that she and Mr. Partington had worked together and arrived at a good compromise for the placement of such speed humps so they would not interfere with the plan. She stated it was a dangerous situation and the area needed some sort of relief. She remarked there were no sidewalks in the area, and she felt the speed humps would add an element of safety to the area. She asked if they could move forward on this, and reiterated that it would not cost the City any money.

Mayor Naugle asked if this matter would normally be placed on the Consent Agenda and asked what the normal policy was regarding such a matter.

Mr. Partington, Acting City Engineer, replied that normally such a matter was placed on the Regular Agenda and the item could be pulled for discussion. Commissioner Hutchinson reminded the Commission that had been done for Harbor Beach. Mr. Partington further clarified that such an item would be put on the part of the agenda that required specific action by the Commission.

Mayor Naugle clarified that the item would be put under Motions for the next meeting.

Commissioner Moore left the meeting at approximately 2:07 p.m.

Vice Mayor Trantalis stated that this came up previously and clarified that Commissioner Teel wanted these speed humps placed at Middle River Drive and Bayview Drive. Commissioner Teel confirmed. Vice Mayor Trantalis stated when this issue was first raised, there had been some discussion that people took that road to avoid the intersection at Oakland and Federal. He stated this might cause more of a traffic build up.

Commissioner Moore returned to the meeting at approximately 2:08 p.m.

Vice Mayor Trantalis asked what was included in the master plan to calm the traffic between those two lights, other than speed humps. Mr. Partington explained that the neighborhood had prepared a master plan, and its primary focus was Bayview Drive between 6th Street. He explained further that the measures proposed were similar for both roads, and the idea was to visually narrow the roads by installing a colorized bicycle lane to emphasize such narrowing, along with the addition of table-top intersections which were larger and more expensive than speed humps. He reiterated that temporary

speed humps were less expensive. He stated that the neighborhood had discussed the idea of an assessment, but had not fully explored the issue as of this time. He stated there was a master plan, but they had to seek funding. He stated that this issue arose and took over the events.

Mayor Naugle stated that if the item was listed under Motions for the next Commission meeting, then any individuals concerned about the item could attend the meeting and voice their opinions or objections.

Vice Mayor Trantalis asked if they were to be installed temporarily, how temporary were they to be. He stated that he wanted this problem dealt with intelligently. He remarked that residents were always complaining that the roads between Middle River and Bayview Drives were being used as a raceway even though there was a traffic light up ahead.

Mr. Partington stated that in his years with the City, it had been Commission policy to take action to protect residential roads and environments at the expense of more congestion on the arterials. The reasoning behind that was that the arterials was where the traffic would be located. Unfortunately, he did not think it was possible to have fully protected residential roads and arterials operating at a very high level of service and moving traffic expeditiously, at all times of the day. He felt something had to give.

Mayor Naugle clarified that the philosophy had been that if one cut through neighborhoods, then they needed to reduce their speed.

Commissioner Teel reiterated that she did not like speed humps, and stated that she used Middle River Drive. She stated that one weekend she had actually counted all the speed humps on Middle River Drive. She reiterated that there were 15 speed humps between Bayview School and 26th Street, plus 2 STOP signs. Needless to say, she felt the traffic had decreased. She felt the problem was that individuals realized some streets had more traffic than others, but they still traveled at 45 mph on residential roads. She felt the flat top humps provided a reasonable decrease in speeds.

Vice Mayor Trantalis asked if this would set a precedence for the neighborhoods. Commissioner Hutchinson stated they had a great traffic plan. Vice Mayor Trantalis agreed, but stated they did not have the funding to implement it. Commissioner Hutchinson reiterated there was no staff available, and monies could always be found.

Action: Item to be placed under Motions for July 7 Commission meeting to authorize placement of two temporary flat top speed humps in the area of Middle River and Bayview Drives on NE 26th Street with cost borne by Coral Ridge Homeowners Association.

City Policy-Media Communications

Commissioner Teel stated that in regard to the recent memorandum they received from the Acting City Manager regarding information being released to the news media, she felt there was a misunderstanding. She stated she was taken aback by the statement regarding the Homeowners Associations.

The Acting City Manager stated that written communications going to Homeowners Associations, such as newsletters, should be reviewed by PIO to make sure it was consistent, and integrated with the packages going to the Homeowners Associations on a monthly basis. He reiterated that it would be a money saving situation, as well. He stated that individuals were insinuating that it was a "gag order," but it was not. He stated that Code Enforcement and Police were going to visit neighborhood associations and that would continue and there was no problem from that point of view. He stated that was not the intent of the memorandum.

Commissioner Teel clarified that it had nothing to do with Commissioners having to write the newsletter articles for the homeowner associations. She re-clarified that there was no "gag order" per se, and they were only attempting to get the information to be consistent and accurate. She remarked that at one of the meetings which she had attended, a City employee was present and had given out some inaccurate information to the association. She stated she was concerned because the individuals present at the meeting were shocked by the information being presented. She felt that more coordination was needed when releasing information to the public.

Leslie Backus stated it also provided the City with another opportunity to focus on an item that was being raised by various groups.

Commissioner Hutchinson stated she realized the Acting City Manager did not have to consult the Commission when writing memorandums regarding items that affected his staff, and stated that she understood the spirit of the memo, but felt it possibly was not well thought out. She stated some were taking this to the next step and saying they were not permitted to talk or do certain things. She did not feel the spirit of the memo was to stifle anyone's First Amendment rights, but a few were undermining the City and Commission's efforts to do what was right for the City and its residents. She stated that various departments were using this memo against various neighborhood groups. She stated that everyone should not be punished for the mistakes of a few.

The Acting City Manager stated that he was going to address this with the EMT tomorrow, and give some further guidance as to what should and should not be included. He stated this guidance was for individuals who were responsible to the City Manager, and did not affect the Commission and their interaction, nor the City Clerk's Office or City Attorney's Office. He stated that if someone was representing the City Manager's Office, they wanted to make sure the information being given was accurate, consistent and global.

Trailer at Las Olas Circle

Vice Mayor Trantalis asked why the trailer was still at the Las Olas Circle since the building project had been rejected.

The City Attorney stated that the last conversation he had on this matter was direction given to Chuck Adams to write a letter to the group, and have them remove the trailer since the lease had expired. Commissioner Hutchinson asked if such a letter had been written. The City Attorney stated that he would check on the matter and report back.

Outside Counsel/Foreclosures/Code Violations

Vice Mayor Trantalis stated that the Commission passed a resolution to hire outside counsel to do foreclosures on code lien violations, and asked if that had been implemented as of this date.

The City Attorney stated that since the Amnesty Program was over, they were now in the process of going through the files and putting together a group to be sent to the outside counsel. He further stated that the package would probably go out within the next two weeks.

South Middle River – Planter Pots

Vice Mayor Trantalis stated there was an issue in South Middle River. He stated the residents wanted to close off certain areas frequented by individuals engaged in illegal activities. He explained there were vacant lots and areas used for drive-thru activities. The residents suggested that possibly some of the large planter pots could be put on those paths to discourage traffic. He stated that evidently there were large amounts of such pots sitting behind the Police Station at Public Works, and an inquiry was made about those and if they could be used by the area residents. He stated that he had been informed that the Engineering Department had jurisdiction over them, but refused to release them.

Peter Partington, Acting City Engineer, stated that some were located at the Public Works compound, but it was his understanding that the majority of those were in poor condition, and very few were serviceable. Vice Mayor Trantalis asked why they were keeping them and not discarding them. Mr. Partington stated they probably should throw them away at some point. He stated that once a supplier had told him that it might be possible to sell them to nurseries. Vice Mayor Trantalis asked if any were available and in good condition that would be used for this purpose. Mr. Partington replied there were very few, but asked if that was what they should be concentrating on. He remarked that the cost was high. He added that in the past when roads were closed for the purpose of crime discouragement, there was a public hearing process. Vice Mayor Trantalis stated he did not think they wanted to close roads. He thought they wanted to block off vacant lots that existed between roads and were being used for illegal activities and used also as roads.

Mayor Naugle stated that in order to close off a vacant lot, permission had to be granted by the owner. Vice Mayor Trantalis stated he thought that had already been done, and now they wanted a device to do it.

Mr. Partington stated that he would check on the planters and work with staff.

Homeless/Broward and Andrews

Commissioner Moore stated that he was concerned about the homeless on Broward Boulevard going north, and from Andrews Avenue going to west to 19th Street. He stated the efforts made to remove the homeless in the Downtown area had been a success, but it had become a major problem in the northwest quadrant. He stated the same policing tactics were not utilized in removing the homeless, and the community wanted to know why they were not doing so. He stated that in some areas actual camps were being set up on abandoned properties. He further stated that in driving down NW 9th Avenue and crossing Sunrise Boulevard going south, there was a property to the south of the Shell

Station which had been abandoned for 10 years and a camp was wide open at the site. On the west side, he stated that a warehouse was being utilized by the homeless. He felt this was unacceptable and not enough cooperation was being given to address the situation. He stated that homeless had been taken to the site available at Sunrise and 7th Avenue, and if the individuals did not cooperate, there would be other action taken. Commissioner Moore emphasized that no action was being taken. He reiterated that at 9th Avenue and Sunrise, there were tons of debris at the area.

Commissioner Moore stated that at NW 19th Avenue, there was the same situation from Sistrunk Boulevard to Sunrise Boulevard. He reiterated there were 3-4 encampments in the area, and nothing was being done. He stated he was finding it difficult to be able to explain to his constituents that the area was being given the same policing methodology that was used for the Downtown. He stated the homeless were being pushed to 7th Avenue, NW 1st Street, and 9th Avenue and being told to leave the downtown area. He asked why were individual's property values more important in the Downtown area, than individual's property values in the northwest quadrant of the City. He asked why there was an intolerance to vagrancy and loitering for the Downtown area, but not for the northwest area. He reiterated that he wanted an answer to that question so people could understand what was the difference.

Bruce Roberts, Chief Police Department, stated that there should be no difference. He stated they would look to see what had caused the large influx of the homeless to the area. He stated that in the past they had dealt with the park located at 19th and Sistrunk Boulevard. He stated that one of the Sergeants had discussed with him what he wanted to do to address the problems at that site. He stated that they would work with the departments to remove the debris, and then check into the locations mentioned by the Commissioner. He added that often times they dealt with the individuals and attempted to get them to the shelters throughout the County. Many times they were shuffled from one place to another. He agreed that no one community should be impacted by this problem.

Commissioner Moore asked what was done when an encampment was discovered. Chief Roberts explained that normally Scott Russell, homeless advocate, was sent in and told to clean-up the area.

Mayor Naugle asked if they attempted to contact the property owner to place "No Trespass" signs at the property site. Chief Roberts explained they did do trespass affidavits, and he had not realized the problem existed at some of the sites mentioned. He stated he was aware of the problem at 19th and 6th, which had been an ongoing problem. He reiterated there should be no difference in the policing methodology. He stated they did migrate to certain areas, and they would have to pay more attention to those areas.

Commissioner Moore suggested they utilize their legislative relationship with the County and have them add more beds for the homeless. He stated there was no reason this City should not advocate that they do what they were governed to do, which was to offer such social services, and if there were inadequate accommodations for the population, then they needed to force a development of a homeless center within the City's boundaries and other areas to address the need.

Commissioner Moore stated that in regard to the trespass affidavit, it was difficult to argue the point that in the Downtown area there are no trespass affidavit, but police officers did remove individuals from the area. He further stated that in the northwest area, a trespass affidavit was required before anything could be done. Mayor Naugle remarked that in regard to the Downtown area, there was a lot of public property involved.

Chief Roberts stated that they did have trespass affidavits in the Downtown area. He stated they were on file and were renewed every two years. He stated that when they were aware of these, they were enforced. He agreed the perception issue needed to be addressed. He advised that they would not be able to make a legal arrest if they did not have the trespass affidavits on file.

Commissioner Moore stated the population numbers of the homeless had increased, and he reiterated that there was an attitude that as long as those individuals were out of the Downtown area and out of the business district, it was all right to go to the northwest quadrant of the City. He stressed that the homeless were not supposed to be in the northwest, but should be given services that the County was to support, and if the City needed to advocate such services, then that should be done. He stated that many of the properties where the encampments were being made were presently owned by the City. He felt they needed to do something and to be very aggressive about it, and offer the individual services needed. He stated if an individual was not cooperative in regard to obtaining the proper service, then they did not have a right to pick out abandoned buildings and exist at such locations. He asked how many days would it take to control a property where an owner had not taken control in over 10 years. Yet, he stated such owners had more rights than other members of the public, and they continue to ignore the law. He stated that such properties were a magnet for the unwanted population.

Code Advisory Committee Recommendations

Commissioner Hutchinson stated that at the Commission's last meeting, they had requested an update regarding the Code Advisory Committee recommendations and about extending the Committee's term. Since it was not on today's agenda, she stated that she would assume such update would be provided at their next meeting.

The City Attorney confirmed.

Commissioner Moore clarified that the Committee was extended for 6 months, and their extension was from the date of that meeting where the issue had been discussed. He stated that was the major problem in not addressing various issues such as the ones he had recently mentioned, and in not addressing the recommendations made by that Committee.

The City Attorney stated that he would get back to the Commissioner. He stated he was aware of the Committee being extended, but as far as he knew, they had not yet come up with any final recommendations that the entire Board had voted on.

Genia Ellis, Member of the Code Advisory Committee, stated that they had provided 16 recommendations to the Acting City Manager, the City Commission, and the City Attorney. At that meeting, the Commission had accepted the recommendations proposed and had asked the City Attorney to process them and bring the matter back in

a month with their recommendations and resolutions. She stated further that included in such recommendations was a request for Code Officers to be able to write tickets regarding violations.

Commissioner Moore stated that possibly they could ask that the 16 recommendations previous discussed be presented in an ordinance form, and during the remaining 6 months of the Committee's term if there were any new recommendations to be made, then an addendum could be made to the ordinance. He stated the key to change was in changing the ordinance. He reiterated that there were still some outstanding issues. He stated the Commission needed to let this Committee know that the time they had given to this work had been worthwhile.

Mayor Naugle reiterated that ordinances would be presented on June 15, 2004 for the recommendations that had a consensus of the Commission. The City Attorney confirmed. Commissioner Hutchinson also requested information about extending the Committee's term.

Florida League of Cities Conference: Hollywood

Commissioner Hutchinson advised that she had been put on a committee with the Broward League of Cities, as it related to the Conference which would take place in August with the Florida League of Cities. She stated that the Broward League of Cities wanted to start showcasing itself, along with the 30 other cities. As a part of the conference, they wanted to have an exhibit area where 5 cities would be displayed each day showcasing their city. She asked if the Commission agreed that they could participate in this. Her idea was to have a display board on the Downtown, the Beach, the Riverwalk and various neighborhoods. She felt there could be give-aways to grant an opportunity to the people attending to come back to the area and participate. She stated they would have a display once, either from 8:00 a.m. to Noon, or from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. She reiterated that it would be nice if the entire Commission could participate. She advised they would have to pick a date between August 19 – 21. He reiterated there would be no cost to the City.

Vice Mayor Trantalis announced that the CBA had put together a photographic montage of buildings in the City and possibly that could be used in the display.

EXECUTIVE CLOSED DOOR SESSION 2:43 P.M.

MEETING RECONVENED AT 5:02 P.M.

Mayor Naugle announced that the meeting would be recessed until 6:00 p.m.

There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:03 p.m.