

**FORT LAUDERDALE CITY COMMISSION CONFERENCE MEETING
FEBRUARY 20, 2007**

**Agenda
Item**

Page

| Agenda Item | Page |
|--|-------------|
| I-A Proposed Ordinance – Prevent Members of City Commission From Soliciting Donations for Third Parties from Persons/Entities That Do Business with the City | 1 |
| I-B 2000 Broward County Safe Parks and Land Preservation Bond Program | 5 |
| I-C Request Broward County Cease Efforts to Acquire Property - Christian Romany Church Ministries – 330 SW 27 Street | 9 |
| II-A Taser Policy and Procedure – Police Department | 10 |
| III-B Advisory Board and Committee Vacancies | |
| 1. Audit Committee | Deferred |
| 2. Beach Redevelopment Advisory Board | Deferred |
| 3. Budget Advisory Board | 10 |
| 4. Cemeteries Advisory Board | Deferred |
| 5. Code Enforcement Advisory Board | Deferred |
| 6. Community Appearance Advisory Board | 10 |
| 7. Community Services Advisory Board | 10 |
| 8. Economic Development Advisory Board | Deferred |
| 9. Education Advisory Board | 10 |
| 10. Fire Rescue Facilities Blue Ribbon Committee | Deferred |
| 11. Historic Preservation Advisory Board | Deferred |
| 12. Northwest Progresso Flagler Heights | Deferred |
| 13. Nuisance Abatement Advisory Board | Deferred |
| 14. Parks, Recreation and Beaches Advisory Board | Deferred |
| 15. Unsafe Structures Board | Deferred |
| 16. Utility Advisory Committee | Deferred |
| IV Commission Reports | |
| 1. Foreclosures | 11 |
| 2. Lowering Speed Limit – Broward Boulevard from SE 8 Street to Victoria Park Drive | 11 |
| V City Manager's Report | 12 |
| None. | |

COMMISSION CONFERENCE MEETING 1:42 P.M. February 20, 2007

Present: Mayor Naugle
 Vice Mayor Hutchinson, Commissioners Moore, Rodstrom, and Teel

Absent: None

Also Present: City Manager – George Gretsas
 City Auditor - John Herbst
 City Clerk - Jonda K. Joseph
 City Attorney - Harry A. Stewart
 Sergeant At Arms – Sergeant John Kane

Mayor Naugle said today's meeting is beginning a little late due to City Commission tour of District I.

I-A – Proposed Ordinance – Prevent Members of City Commission from Soliciting Donations for Third Parties From Persons/Entities That Do Business with the City

The City Attorney said this ordinance was prepared at the Commission's direction. If it follows the desires of the Commission, it will be scheduled for public hearing.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson read: This ordinance does not address the problem I believe that the Commission is trying to solve. It appears to me as though we are taking a shotgun to an issue that can be solved with a sharp shooter's rifle. The Mayor is proposing this ordinance on the basis of a concern we are using our positions to raise money for our community. However, we have opted to not address the only issue that concerns the public and that is what we receive as commissioners from those who do business with the City. I will not apologize for continuing to work for my community, raising money or volunteering. It is what I do and what I have always done. It is why I believe I sit here today. To tell any commissioners that the burden of taking this position is that you must now give up working to place shoes on children's feet or spend a morning serving breakfast so that the homeless can eat is absurd. What are we trying to reform? A system of volunteering; a system of giving back to our community. This legislation seems to be a result of one bad act that should not have been done. I cannot shed my title when I walk in the aisle of Publix or when I attend a charitable event. If we were serious about reform, then I would suggest something similar to what the legislature did by prohibiting gifts. But a great deal of what all of us do in this community is reaching out, serving citizens, and the charities that assist them. I find this ordinance offensive and intellectually dishonest. This is not reform of any kind. This is a remake of a Christmas Carol. This is a spring scrooge. Perhaps we should ban any sort of solicitation from the dais, and this should include the games some of you have played with the developers by having them bid on how much money they will provide the City. For an example, the park impact fees prior to them being adopted and the so-called affordable housing fund, that is and was offensive. And that is as far as we should go. We are setting us all up for failure. To say that I can work for charities as long as I do not do it as Commissioner Cindi Hutchinson, yet quite frankly folks that's who I am. It is the person who is tapped on the shoulder at dinner so someone can say hello to them; it is the person who is called at home so they can tell me about a problem they're having in their neighborhood. I'm Commissioner Hutchinson 24 hours a day/7 days a week. To

create this distinction between Cindi and Commissioner Hutchinson will ultimately place all of us at risk. For fear of being accused, I will have to stop my charitable endeavors and that to me will be a travesty. I have lived in this community for 49 years and I'm a member of various charity organizations. I should not be forced to stop simply because of one bad act by a fellow commissioner. Yet what you're telling me is that as commissioners we can take free tickets to the Super Bowl that the average person could never afford, but I can't put shoes on the feet of needy kids. I can take free tickets to a concert, but I can't serve breakfast and feed the homeless. I can go to dinner with a local developer, but I can't raise money to save the historic clubhouse of the Fort Lauderdale Women's Club. Commissioners, we need to use the right tool and not a shotgun. Mayor, you've made your point. I propose no commissioner shall solicit anything from the halls of this building. Beyond that, it looks like this solution is searching for a problem. I would be happy to consider real reform that deals with what we accept as commissioners, but I will not be told that I can't continue to assist my community whether its raising money for abused and neglected children or working to raise money to save Southside School. I don't think anyone who calls my home at 9 p.m. expects me to tell them that after 5 p.m. I'm not a commissioner. I am and always will be until the day I'm no longer in office. I would encourage all of you as commissioners to join me in not supporting this legislation and work together to draft gift or lobbyist reform. That is the real issue that matters to the people I serve. They applaud what we do for the community and loathe what we do for ourselves. This legislation does not address the latter and I for one will not support it.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson felt the community does not want commissioners to accept anything from developers.

Mayor Naugle said the idea to raise money for charities could still be done, but not from anyone who does business with the City. Recently, Vice Mayor Hutchinson sent out letters to developers requesting contributions for the Women's Club, which is a worthy cause. She could have sent out letters on her own stationery to some 175,500 people, just not developers. It is about public perception. He will continue to raise money for causes he believes in, but would not send solicitations to individuals who have development projects with the City or City vendors.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson asked how contributions could be solicited without doing so as Mayor of Fort Lauderdale. Mayor Naugle said he will not solicit individuals who do business with the City. Vice Mayor Hutchinson felt this allows the public to decide if she erred because anyone can issue a complaint. Someone could assume she used her title if they do not like the particular charity. Mayor Naugle felt that Vice Mayor Hutchinson has interpreted this as not being able to do good things for good causes, but that is not what the ordinance says. Vice Mayor Hutchinson said the issue is using her title, and her concern is that one carries their title everywhere they go. It will be up to the public to determine when a complaint is filed whether she has used her title.

Commissioner Rodstrom felt it is a matter of using the title for vendors coming before the Commission and there is a vote.

In response to Mayor Naugle, the City Attorney if a commissioner uses their personal stationery, pays for the postage, and solicits a third party, he or she would be in violation of the ordinance unless that party is not doing business with the City. Mayor Naugle

concluded if an individual is not doing business with the City, they can be solicited. Commissioner Rodstrom felt charity work can still be done as an individual.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson asked what about stopping lobbyists from giving gifts like super bowl tickets and one need only claim if it is over \$100. The public is more incensed when the development community presents gifts to commissioners.

In response to Commissioner Rodstrom, the City Attorney indicated there is a State rule on gifts that applies to commissioners and the City Manager has issued a policy that applies to staff.

Commissioner Moore disagreed with such an ordinance; he did not think it is necessary. This attack seems to be a result of an action he took. Yet in prior years, he saw commissioners hold out baskets from the dais requesting contributions. He agreed one should not solicit from City stationery. He provides accessibility to individuals with money that is not normally provided to people in his district. He believed that developers would still make a contribution to a charity supported by a commissioner once it becomes known to them. Lobbyists are not claiming they will not be supportive until a contribution is made. The issue is what they, as elected officials, receive. Someone paying for his breakfast would anger more people than him receiving \$3,000 from a lobbyist to buy Christmas toys for children. He agreed that City stationery should not be used. There are lobbyists who have the same causes as him. He suggested a policy, not an ordinance, prohibiting the Commission from soliciting on City stationery or utilize the resources of the City to solicit.

Commissioner Teel felt the key word is solicit. The big problem is using City stationery or newspaper ads with the City logo. She agreed with the Vice Mayor concerning gifts and she felt it should be part of the ethics policy. The Commission should not accept gifts, period. She did not go for fining and so forth.

Commissioner Moore suggested a policy for no solicitation by an elected official on City stationery, no utilization of City staff, and no City dollars involved.

Commissioner Rodstrom felt currently doing business with the City is an important clause. Commissioner Moore explained before he was an elected official, he solicited contributions for causes from anyone with whom he spent money, i.e. dry cleaner. He did not think that is buying business; it is willing to give back to individuals who make one whole. Mayor Naugle felt it is a matter of using a vendor that may not provide quality service just because they contribute. Commissioner Moore disagreed. Mayor Naugle pointed out that FPL will want the Commission to remember all of their contributions when the City is considering their franchise. Commissioner Moore pointed out that there was a 5-0 vote to hire a consultant to show advantages of doing without FPL. Mayor Naugle noted the actual vote has not yet taken place.

Commissioner Teel further said that a lot of this comes to perception. When the public sees that the Commission is going to be voting on a matter and they realize a lot of effort was made by commissioners to contribute to a charity, they will have the perception that such contribution helped get the vote. She felt two things are being discussed. She saw no problem with continuing charity work. She did not think a commissioner should solicit someone who does business with the City, even on personal stationary. She disagreed with the fining.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson felt there should be a policy prohibiting commissioners from soliciting donations from non-profits on City letterhead. She also agreed about newspaper ads.

Commissioner Rodstrom felt it looks fishy when the individual has donated to a commissioner's charity and comes in front of the Commission for a vote. Commissioner Moore said many things look a way but they are not that way. There is no need for a law because something might look wrong. It is overshooting the issue. Commissioner Rodstrom felt they need to hold themselves to a higher level because they serve the public and it is expected. Commissioner Moore felt serving the public does not make one an inadequate player because one holds an elected office.

Commissioner Moore noted the City in the past contributed \$7,500 for the Broward County Mayor's Ball, but that has been stopped. The City no longer contributes. It is discrimination for individuals who do business with the City to not contribute to not-for-profits because of how it looks. It disallows people in the community to receive something. Mayor Naugle pointed out they could contribute, but there should not be any solicitation.

Commissioner Teel asked what the State is doing on this. The City Attorney said that the State has a host of regulations. They do not have a regulation about sending letters to charities, asking people to donate. The proposed ordinance addresses third parties and does not prohibit commissioners from soliciting gifts for themselves.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said that this has never been an issue except one mistake for Halloween candy. If there was a perception in the community, she would be the first to hear about it. This is a travesty that limits the commissioners' abilities to work with their communities in ways they expect. She agreed about City stationary and resources.

Commissioner Teel felt the door should be left open for future discussion. She did not have a problem with a policy that addresses City stationary, ads in newspapers and City resources. It should be left to the commissioners to use common sense. She felt they need to pursue gifts to elected officials which is a separate issue. In response to Vice Mayor Hutchinson, Commissioner Teel felt the City should look at what the State has on this.

In response to Commissioner Teel, the City Attorney said being on a host committee could violate the ordinance. Vice Mayor Hutchinson pointed out that people want to honor a commissioner because they have the ability to get people to attend an event. The City Attorney said a commissioner being an honoree would probably not be a violation.

Commissioner Moore raised the example of the Mayor's Breakfast. Mayor Naugle indicated it is a long standing committee, Mayor's Prayer Breakfast. Commissioner Moore pointed out that a lot of lobbyists and people who do business with the City attend.

Commissioner Rodstrom referred to the ordinance and felt it is unfair to expect a candidate to know who is doing business with the City. Perhaps a restricted vendor list

could be provided to candidates. Mayor Naugle noted there are only two commissioners that support the ordinance.

In response to Vice Mayor Hutchinson, the City Attorney indicated if it is a policy, it should be a resolution.

Action: There was consensus for the City Attorney to present a resolution setting out policy prohibiting use of any City resources. Commissioner Teel was interested in further addressing the issue of gifts to elected officials.

I-B – 2000 Broward County Safe Parks and Land Preservation Bond Program

Commissioner Moore left the meeting at approximately 2:15 p.m.

Phil Thornburg, Director of Parks and Recreation, said a brief history was supplied regarding the Broward County Park Bond Referendum in 2000. There are two properties that the City has the opportunity to purchase. There is a \$2 million cap per site that the County is willing to extend. One parcel is the Hорт School property in the Shady Banks area which is presently owned by the School Board. It is a maintenance facility. They are willing to relocate and sell the property. The City currently leases one-half of the site for a park. The other parcel is the Gore Nursery in the southwest. Developers have approached the owner about building townhouses on this property. He said that Vice Mayor Hutchinson asked for this matter to be placed on today's agenda.

Commissioner Moore returned to the meeting at approximately 2:17 p.m.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said that in 2002 this Commission supported sending the Hорт site to the Land Preservation Board. It was approved for \$2 million funding. There appears to be about a \$1.3 million gap. The Gore Nursery owners have been approached by the development community, but would like to sell it for park land. At the same time the City had a \$2 million appropriation to a downtown park, but staff has not been able to find an affordable site. At a Land Preservation meeting she asked the Board to send a recommendation to the County to reallocate the downtown park money to the Gore Nursery parcel. It went to the County for their approval and County Commissioner Rodstrom suggested that the City find a plan to fund the gap and so indicate this to him.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said that Hорт School has always been under the impression that the neighborhood of Shady Banks would purchase the site because they have been fighting for the property over the last four years. Gore Nursery is a new parcel that will go to developers if the City does not purchase it. River Oaks has no park at this time.

Commissioner Moore supported any opportunity of land acquisitions for parks, especially using County bond money. He was concerned about the process to be used to select parcels. He recalled efforts with respect to the Wingate site and the Land Preservation Board for a golf course use. Since then, there have been two additional sites, one at State Road 7 (441) and Interstate 595 which could be a connection to an existing waterfront park. The owner is presently asking for a higher price than what the property is valued. There is also a park on Davie Boulevard that needs frontage for parking and for a community center. The Davie Boulevard site has a music store fronting the park which square footage would be perfect for a community center. The shopping center is

vacant and unsightly. With this, it could be occupied. It would rehabilitate the blighted area. Funds could also be used for Wingate to develop a golf course.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said that land preservation funds are for land acquisition and the City already owns the Wingate site. Commissioner Moore said they do not own the adjacent property to the site that would allow for expansion.

Mayor Naugle asked where the money would come from. The City could be facing a 20% reduction in the tax base this year. He felt parcels are being added haphazardly. He asked what areas are being under served.

Mr. Thornburg said that if the City lost Hortt Park, the area would be under served, and the area surrounding Gore Nursery has no neighborhood park. The northeast is under served. They are going through the Master Plan process now, but the problem is that the monies are available now. He explained how decisions are reached in defining a neighborhood park.

In response to Mayor Naugle, the City Manager said that the funds would have to come from the proposed budget for next year. For both parcels, the cost would be roughly \$400,000 to \$500,000 per year.

Commissioner Rodstrom asked about using park impact fees. Mr. Thornburg said that fund is estimated over the next five years. There is currently less than \$1 million in the fund. Impact fees are to be used for development of the properties.

Commissioner Moore referred to Commissioner Teel bringing to the Commission's attention and the acquisition of park property. He felt the Commission will always look for an opportunity and that is what is happening now. Western cities are grabbing the open green space money because there are available properties. The County has spent a great deal of money in those communities. As to maintaining them, it is an operational budget issue that must be addressed.

Commissioner Moore thought that such things as billboards and industrial warehouses should be equally considered throughout the City.

Mayor Naugle said that Shady Banks may not have a park, but the land is zoned for open space and apartments or houses could not be built at the site. The greatest use would be to have a charter school or something of that type serving the community, and possibly have a park associated with the school.

Commissioner Rodstrom asked if the debt service could be taken from the park impact fee. The City Attorney said park impact fees could be used for acquisition and development only. Commissioner Rodstrom said it is important to preserve as much land as possible, but she did not want to use the General Fund. The City Attorney said the problem is that financing cannot be secured based on impact fees; the money has to be guaranteed. The City Attorney said that park impact fees can be the secondary pledge, but there has to be a primary pledge of 110% of the revenue stream needed for the debt service.

Mayor Naugle said funds could be pledged from sales or excise taxes. The City Attorney confirmed that is correct. The financial community has not looked favorably

upon impact fees as guarantee for debt service as a revenue source because it is not a guaranteed income; it could fluctuate.

Commissioner Moore left the meeting momentarily and then returned.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said in the past the Land Preservation Board has re-appropriated money, and it stays in the county commissioner's district. When the Gore Nursery parcel became available, it was common to say this is in the same district and the ability to reallocate the monies. At the same time, he knew that Hortt was coming up because the appraisal has already gone out. At the March Land Preservation Board meeting, projects will be reviewed which have gone nowhere. At the Board's last meeting, they gave them until March to fish or cut bait. Therefore, additional funds will become available. In May more failed projects will be reviewed. They will request the County to put the funds back in this phase so projects ranked for success could be funded. Many parcels were ranked, but they only had \$9 million.

Commissioner Moore felt all sites should be reviewed by the Commission, so they could increase the chances of acquiring something.

Commissioner Rodstrom noted some parcels may be less than the \$2 million cap.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson referred to the \$2 million allocated to the downtown park and said that if the City finds a funding source, the City will be able to keep that money. Commissioner Moore felt that if the City cannot fund the gap, they may have to change the site in order to get \$2 million spent in the downtown. He did not have any problem advocating what is being recommended, but it is a matter of paint or get off the ladder. Vice Mayor Hutchinson did not think they could change the site in mid-stream because it would have to go back to the Board and through another presentation. This would have to be checked out. Commissioner Teel believed it was done for the Bayview Park. She did not think the money went back into the fund. Vice Mayor Hutchinson agreed it was reallocated directly to that project.

The City Manager said there is approximately \$800,000 in the parks impact fund, and it could be charged to that fund if available at the time, otherwise it would have to be a budgeted item.

Commissioner Rodstrom said the last choice is to use General Fund revenue. In response to Commissioner Rodstrom, Mr. Thornburg said \$8 million in impact fees was an estimate by the Planning Department for the next five years, or 3,345 units.

The City Manager said first, money could come from the park impact fund, and anything above would have to come out of the General Fund. If this is the desire of the Commission, then the park impact funds would have to be earmarked for this purpose to prevent double-spending.

Commissioner Moore did not want to see the parks impact fee already committed to two sites because he felt it is inappropriate.

Mayor Naugle did not want to raise taxes. Commissioner Moore said it is a matter of budgeting. The budget should reflect priorities. Mayor Naugle said if there are not many other parties paying into the park impact fund and the Property Appraiser lowers

assessments as expected, while the demand for services continues, what are the options for making debt service payments in future years. The City Manager said monies would have to come from the General Fund. Mayor Naugle asked how they would pay for a new expense. The City Manager said the Commission has to determine what to spend the money on. The exact amount in the park impact fund is \$744,000. Of the \$8 million projected, he did not think it should be looked at as guaranteed funds.

Commissioner Teel said if park impact fees are used for debt service, how would that impact plans. Mr. Thornburg said that development would be slowed down. Commissioner Teel said that often times people want a finished product once they know the land has been purchased and that may not necessarily happen.

Commissioner Rodstrom pointed out the idea is if the property is developed into townhouses, it will never be a park.

Mayor Naugle said the Commission would support this as long as they would not be committing to raising taxes.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson asked the City Manager to prepare the letter regarding this item for County Commissioner Rodstrom since he needs it for February 27. County Commissioner Rodstrom will then agree, upon receiving the letter, to re-appropriate the \$2 million for Gore Nursery.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said there are two items on this agenda because she knew there were two large parcels coming before this Commission for which they would have to fund the gap. She was looking ahead. County Commissioner Rodstrom wants the City Commission's commitment to fund the gap for Gore Nursery before he reallocated the \$2 million from the downtown park.

Commissioner Moore supported the Gore parcel, but wants to ask that they discuss or develop a process as to other properties going before the Land Preservation Board.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said the letter should only be structured in connection with the Gore parcel. Mayor Naugle noted there is not the urgency for the other parcel because it is not zoned for development.

Mr. Thornburg said further that the County needs a decision in connection with the Hott property because the City has the first right of refusal. The County will not continue to work with the School Board if the City is not in the game plan.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson referred to Mr. Thornburg's comments about the County, and clarified it is two separate entities, staff versus Commissioner Rodstrom.

Commissioner Moore asked what is different about this school because other school sites have been given to municipal entities. Vice Mayor Hutchinson thought the school property was given to the City, Lincoln Park, because it had to be cleaned up. Commissioner Moore thought the City perhaps should make a request to provide a public use for public property.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said in discussions since 2002 when this was presented to the Land Preservation Board, there was a commitment from the City that they would purchase the property. Commissioner Moore said things can change.

In response to Commissioner Rodstrom, Mr. Thornburg said that the County asked for a decision from the City regarding both parcels so that they would know whether to move forward.

Vice Mayor Hutchinson felt that a decision needs to be reached today on both parcels. The neighborhood has been pounding on her back that since 2002 the City Commission made a commitment to move forward with the purchase of the Hortt school property.

Mayor Naugle concluded the Commission would support both parcels.

Action: There was consensus to move forward with respect to acquisition of Gore Nursery site (OS-136) and Hortt Park School Property (OS-18). Vice Mayor Hutchinson requested the City Manager prepare the letter for County Commissioner Rodstrom for the February 27, 2007 meeting, indicating City support of Gore Nursery site (OS-136). County Commissioner Rodstrom has indicated that upon receipt of the letter, he will agree to the reappropriation of \$2 million for the Gore Nursery site (OS-136). Commissioner Moore wanted to discuss or establish a process with respect to properties considered by the Land Preservation Advisory Board.

I-C – Request Broward County Cease Efforts to Acquire Property – Christian Romany Church Ministries – 330 SW 27 Street

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said she attended the Edgewood Civic Association meeting last week, along with Broward County Commissioner John Rodstrom. The County is proposing to move the BARC facility which is currently in Sailboat Bend. The proposed new location would be a good site for the facility. There is a church parcel the County is pursuing via eminent domain. It is not necessarily needed to build the BARC facility. They would have to go from one to two stories. County Commissioner Rodstrom is asking this Commission to support a resolution encouraging the County Commission not to pursue the eminent domain on the church. Commissioner Moore was supportive.

In response to Mayor Naugle, Vice Mayor Hutchinson said the neighborhood does not want to see the church go out of business. She was not sure about the operating hours of the school at the site or the church except on Sundays.

Mayor Naugle asked if the wording of the resolution could be changed possibly to say The City urges Broward County Commission to find a way to accommodate the needs of BARC without condemning the land of the church. Vice Mayor Hutchinson said it is not the intention to keep BARC out. She did not object to such rewording.

Action: Consensus approval, including Mayor Naugle's request that the resolution be reworded to not oppose the Broward County Substance Abuse Health Care Facility (BARC), and to find a way to acquire the property without the need to condemn the church property. Please also see regular meeting.

II-A – Taser Policy and Procedure – Police Department

No discussion. Commissioner Moore was not present.

III-B – Advisory Board/Committee Appointments

Audit Committee

Action: Deferred.

Beach Redevelopment Advisory Board

Action: Deferred.

Budget Advisory Board

Mayor Naugle recommended appointing of Dennis Cole to the Budget Advisory Board.

Action: Formal Action To Be Taken At Regular Meeting.

Cemeteries Advisory Board

Action: Deferred.

Code Enforcement Advisory Board

Action: Deferred.

Community Appearance Advisory Board

Vice Mayor Hutchinson recommended appointing Alfred Imgrund to the Community Appearance Advisory Board.

Action: Formal Action To Be Taken At Regular Meeting.

Community Services Advisory Board

Vice Mayor Hutchinson recommended appointing Jan Beasley to the Community Services Advisory Board.

Action: Formal Action To Be Taken At Regular Meeting.

Economic Development Advisory Board

Action: Deferred

Education Advisory Board

Vice Mayor Hutchinson recommended appointing Gilbert Borrero to the Education Advisory Board.

Mayor Naugle recommended reinstating Leola McCoy to the Education Advisory Board.

Action: Formal Action To Be Taken At Regular Meeting.

Fire-Rescue Facilities Blue Ribbon Committee

Action: Deferred.

Historic Preservation Advisory Board

Action: Deferred.

Insurance Advisory Board

Action: Deferred.

Northwest Progresso Advisory Board

Action: Deferred.

Nuisance Abatement Advisory Board

Action: Deferred.

Parks, Recreation and Beaches Advisory Board

Action: Deferred

Unsafe Structures Board

Action: Deferred

Utility Advisory Committee

Action: Deferred.

NOTE: The Commission recessed and convened as the Community Redevelopment Agency from 2:51 p.m. to 3:51 p.m.

IV – Commission Reports

Foreclosures

Vice Mayor Hutchinson requested a list of foreclosures from the City Attorney's office that they are working on, especially a property on South Ocean Drive.

Commissioner Moore left the meeting at approximately 3:52 p.m.

Lowering Speed Limit; Broward Boulevard, from SE 8 St to Victoria Park Drive

Vice Mayor Hutchinson said that Victoria Park, Colee Hammock and Beverly Heights communities have been proponents of lowering the speed limit from 35 mph to 30 mph on Broward Boulevard from SE 8th Street to Victoria Park Drive. Broward County Commissioner John Rodstrom asked if this Commission would support a resolution in favor of this request, he would then take it forward to the County Commission. All three associations have adopted a resolution, and are now they are asking for the City to do the same. She requested the resolution be on the next regular meeting agenda in March. She hoped the district commissioner for Victoria Park would support this also.

Mayor Naugle wanted to hear from the traffic engineer. Speed is a function of the design of the road. It might not be appropriate to reduce the speed limit in that area. It would create a speed trap. Vice Mayor Hutchinson asked such information be provided in the back-up material provided to the Commission with the agenda item. She felt there is a movement to look at on-street parking during off-peak hours on Broward Boulevard. Parking is an issue in those areas.

V – Manager's Report

None given.

There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 3:55 p.m.