

**COMMUNITY SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD MEETING  
MAY 9, 2005 – 7:00 P.M.**

**CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS – 1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR  
100 N. ANDREWS AVENUE**

**Board Members**

	<b><u>Present</u></b>	<b><u>Absent</u></b>	<b><u>Cumulative From 10/03</u></b>	
			<b><u>(P)</u></b>	<b><u>(A)</u></b>
Jennie Brooks		A	13	4
Marie Conroy	P		11	3
Marjorie Davis		A	5	12
John Hurley	P		8	9
Robert Pascal	P		15	2
Sanford Rosenthal	P		17	0
Robert Smith	P		17	0
William Goetz	P		8	1
Michael Kimmey	P		7	1
Alfred Imgrund	P		5	0

**Staff Present:**

Margarette Hayes, Community Development  
Assistant City Attorney  
Karen Reese, Housing Community Development  
Susan Batchelder, City of Fort Lauderdale

Margaret A. D'Alessio, Recording Secretary

**Guests**

Beryl Glansberg

**Call to Order**

Vice Chair Robert Pascal called the meeting to order at approximately 7:05 p.m. and roll call was taken and a quorum was present.

**Introduction of Board Members and Staff**

Margarette Hayes stated that the Assistant City Attorney, along with Susan Batchelder and Karen Reese were present this evening.

**Approval of Minutes – April 11, 2005 Meeting**

Sanford Rosenthal stated that he wanted to add that he had not visited the facilities at Women in Distress or ComServ.

Vice Chair Robert Pascal stated that he had been contacted by Robert Brady who informed him that he had not received a copy of the minutes and wanted to have the

ability to review them so if any discrepancies occurred, he would be able to file a protest within the 30 day limit.

The City Attorney asked the Board if they wanted to wait until their next meeting to approve the minutes.

**Motion** was made by John Hurley and seconded by Robert Smith to defer the approval of the April 11, 2005 meeting minutes until June 13, 2005. Board unanimously approved.

### **New Board Member Updates**

Margarette Hayes stated there are currently five vacancies for this Board and no individuals had been appointed as of this time.

### **CDBG Funding Cycle Applicant Allocation of Funds**

Sanford Rosenthal stated that before they begin voting and allocating the monies, he wanted to have a detailed discussion regarding each group.

Margarette Hayes stated that they needed to decide how the monies were to be allocated.

Sanford Rosenthal stated that personal feelings and prejudices should be put aside when allocating the funds. He felt that the organizations that received funds last year should be placed further down the list this year giving other groups a chance to receive some funding. He further stated that agencies could possibly be capped at \$25,000 so monies could be distributed to more organizations.

William Goetz stated that when reviewing the budget sheets for the agencies, and comparing the income to the expenses, along with current cash on hand and assets, some agencies had larger assets with sufficient cash on hand. He stated that possibly such things should be considered when the Board was making their decisions. He further stated that some groups had received grants previously, but had not spent a substantial amount of those funds. He added that some groups had presented a budget for a specific project, but not for their organization as a whole. He also stated that he believed they should consider the cost per client served because some groups appeared to be spending large amounts of money. He further stated that the Board might want to consider the percent of grants given for salaries versus the amount spent on the clients, and the potential payoff given the size of the grant.

Robert Smith stated that the funds came from the Federal Government with strings attached, and this Board was part of that process. He stated this Board had to follow the rules so the monies would not be jeopardized.

Margarette Hayes stated that the applications were reviewed by staff to make sure all Federal requirements were met. It was difficult for the Board because there was only \$353,000 available and millions of dollars of requests were filed. She stated the ranking consideration was developed several years ago, and staff agreed that adjustments needed to be made to the applications. But now, they could not make changes at this

stage, and she recommended that modifications could be made for next year and the applicants would then be notified. She did not recommend that any changes be made at this time. Rankings should be made according to need. Caps could be set for next year's cycle. This way the agencies would be aware of the changes well ahead of time.

Vice Chair Robert Pascal agreed that changes could be made for next year.

The Assistant City Attorney stated that the Board was held to a standard in exercising their discretion. The decisions made could not be arbitrary, capricious or abuse the Board's discretion. Setting a cap at this late stage could constitute a capricious decision. She stated that such a recommendation could be made for the process for next year. She reiterated that the applications were reviewed by staff and all criteria had been met as issued by the Federal Government.

John Hurley stated that if they followed any other standard than what was set out, they could end up testifying in a Court Room because guidelines had not been followed.

Sanford Rosenthal further stated that if one felt deeply about some of the agencies, then maybe they should be budgeted for contributions. He explained he wanted to help some of the newer organizations. He stated that he did not receive any personal gain from any of the groups.

Margarette Hayes proceeded to collect the ranking sheets from the Board Members. Tallies would be made and the overall point structure would be given to the Board.

William Goetz asked if any discussion would take place regarding the allocation of the grant monies. Margarette Hayes stated that first she would have to collect the ranking sheets. Vice Chair Robert Pascal stated that after the tallies were complete, additional discussion could take place regarding the various agencies.

Margarette Hayes asked the Board Members to sign and date their sheets that were being submitted.

William Goetz asked if there was a question on the application asking if additional information could be supplied that would reflect positively on the agency's program.

Margarette Hayes stated additional information could be supplied during the individual presentations, and letters of support were to be supplied in the areas they were going to work in.

William Goetz asked why some of the organizations presented a very detailed budget. Margarette Hayes explained that as part of the budget request, they were supplying such information to show the level of funding each had.

William Goetz suggested that at the next Board meeting, they should review the criteria and if any modifications should be made, along with the setting of caps. The Board agreed to have this item placed on the next agenda.

Margarette Hayes explained that the application cycle for 2006-2007 would begin in January, 2006. Therefore, there was sufficient time to make any modifications or changes desired by the Board.

William Goetz stated that he would make a list of his recommendations and submit them to Margarette Hayes so they could be distributed to the Board for discussion at the next meeting.

Margarette Hayes further stated that at the next Board meeting, the Board would be reviewing the next Five-Year Consolidated Plan. She advised that possibly additional time would be needed for that discussion, and the possible modifications to the process could be scheduled for a later date.

William Goetz asked what happened if all the funds were not allocated. Margarette Hayes stated that had never been an issue since there is a small amount of monies to be allocated. Essentially, if the monies were not completely allocated, they would be placed into other programs. William Goetz stated that this Board was making recommendations to the City Commission regarding the allocations. Margarette Hayes confirmed.

Sanford Rosenthal asked if the City Commission historically had accepted this Board's recommendations. Margarette Hayes confirmed and stated that sometimes slight modifications were made.

Alfred Imgrund asked if the Committee could set aside some of the grant monies for studies. Margarette Hayes stated that the Public Services portion was specifically designated by HUD for social service agencies doing social service type of activities. Monies had to be spent for such purposes.

William Goetz asked if he could obtain a copy of the criteria developed by HUD for the Block Grant monies.

Margarette Hayes advised there were 15 criteria that had to be met regarding the Block Grant monies.

Margarette Hayes advised that Charles Brady had resigned from this Board, and later on in the evening the Board would be selecting a new Chairperson.

Margarette Hayes stated that all the votes were tallied and the rankings were as follows:

942 points	Women in Distress
790 points	Girl Scouts of Broward County
725 points	First Call for Help
708 points	Starting Over
699 points	Broward Homebound Program
633 points	Fort Lauderdale Police Department Graffiti Reduction and Community Quality Improvement Project
577 points	Russell Life Skills and Reading Education
560 points	FAU Small Business Development Center
550 points	Atlantic Institute of Oriental Medicine

545 points	Parks and Recreation – Bass Carter Youth Aquatic and Fitness Program
511 points	Fort Lauderdale Children’s Theatre
435 points	Future Stars – Youth Sports Association
385 points	ComServ – Protect Man
334 points	Mt. Olive Development Corporation
260 points	Suscie C. Holly Cradle Nursery

Margarette Hayes announced that they were ready to accept the Board’s recommendations. She explained that the funds now had to be allocated.

Women in Distress

William Goetz stated that he felt this was a worthy cause and ranked in his top 3, but their current net assets show to be \$2.4 Million. He continued stating if there were other causes that did not have the same resources available, possibly the Board should consider shifting this agency lower on the list.

Vice Chair Robert Pascal advised that this agency had requested \$50,000 and had been ranked as #1.

Margarette Hayes advised that a dollar amount could be suggested by the Board, and then further discussions could take place.

**Motion** made by Sanford Rosenthal and seconded by Alfred Imgrund to grant \$25,000 to Women in Distress. Board unanimously approved.

William Goetz stated that possibly discussion should take place regarding all the agencies before funds were allocated.

**Motion** made by William Goetz and seconded by Robert Smith that the Board discuss the list of agencies before monies were allocated. Motion failed 5-3.

Alfred Imgrund asked for some clarification of the motion made. William Goetz stated that he was suggesting that discussion take place regarding all the agencies, and then monies could be allocated.

John Hurley stated that this was not a difficult task and the Board should be able to proceed forward.

Girl Scouts of Broward County

Margarette Hayes advised that this group had requested \$76,102.

William Goetz stated that they had assets of \$2.7 Million.

Alfred Imgrund stated they were asking for 78% of the funds from this grant. He recommended that a smaller amount be granted.

**Motion** made by Sanford Rosenthal and seconded by Michael Kimmey to grant \$20,000 to the Girl Scouts of Broward County. Board unanimously approved.

First Call for Help

John Hurley stated that he liked the concept of this agency, but he felt that this may not ever “catch on.” He stated that people were aware of 911, but not necessarily 211.

Margarette Hayes stated that this program was nationally recognized by HUD.

William Goetz stated that the calls received were very important, but the monies requested would probably go towards personnel. He felt if they earmarked the funds with restrictions for marketing, possibly some concerns regarding the program could be addressed in that way.

John Hurley suggested that they receive funds with a possible caveat addressing future marketing. Otherwise, funding could be cut.

Robert Smith stated their Civic Association had gone door-to-door regarding this agency and provided educational brochures regarding the available programs. Individuals found it to be very effective and helpful.

Alfred Imgrund asked if these funds could be earmarked or restricted.

Margarette Hayes stated that the applicants had already been reviewed, and were determined to be eligible to receive the funds.

Alfred Imgrund stated that he approved of the 211 program, and he felt they would become better known. Eventually, he felt this group could help reduce some of the 911 traffic.

William Goetz stated that he did not see anything in the application stating that the Board could not restrict some of the funding.

Margarette Hayes advised that specific language was provided in the application covering various facets of the agency’s operation.

John Hurley stated that by putting restrictions on the funds, they would be opening up a “bureaucratic can of worms.”

Margarette Hayes explained that staff did monitor the spending of the funds, and formal agreements were entered into with the groups. She stated it was all eligible under HUD’s directive. She further added that the 211 Program had national recognition.

**Motion** made by Alfred Imgrund and seconded by Marie Conroy that \$75,000 be granted to First Call for Help. Board unanimously approved with the exception of Michael Kimmey and William Goetz.

Starting Over

Margarette Hayes announced that there was a balance of \$233,700 to be allocated.

Alfred Imgrund stated this was an excellent program. Vice Chair Robert Pascal stated they had a very high success rate, and he had done some volunteer work with the organization.

John Hurley stated that this organization reflected the "ounce of prevention theory."

**Motion** made by Michael Kimmey and seconded by Alfred Imgrund to grant \$36,690 to Starting Over. Board unanimously approved.

#### Broward Homebound

Alfred Imgrund stated this was another outstanding program that had low administrative costs.

Vice Chair Robert Pascal stated there had been concerns raised as to whether they were going to take care of clients within the City of Fort Lauderdale. Robert Smith stated that the organization did provide service to Broward County. Marie Conroy stated that they had represented that 27% of their clients lived within the City's limits.

Margarette Hayes stated that actual documentation was presented to staff and then reimbursement procedures were followed. No monies were given up front.

**Motion** made by William Goetz and seconded by Alfred Imgrund to grant \$25,000 to Broward Homebound. Alfred Imgrund said "shame on Fort Lauderdale" for cutting off this agency from their contributions.

John Hurley asked what Mr. Imgrund meant "cutting them off." Alfred Imgrund stated that he was referring to this agency being removed from the City's contributions due to budgetary constraints.

Margarette Hayes advised that they had been funded under the Social and Cultural Programs.

Board unanimously approved the above motion.

#### City of Fort Lauderdale Police Department – Graffiti Reduction

William Goetz stated that this was a small investment for a possible large payoff. He believed this was a quality of life issue.

Vice Chair Robert Pascal agreed and stated that more and more graffiti appeared to be seen in the area.

Sanford Rosenthal stated that he did not like the way he had been dodged about his idea regarding video cameras being placed in certain areas. He further stated that he was inclined to reduce the amount they were requesting.

Robert Smith clarified that very expensive cameras were used for these types of situations.

Alfred Imgrund stated that this was an agency that should be fully funded by the City, and he felt they should not be taking funds away from non-profit organizations. He reiterated that he would support whatever recommendation the Board may make in this matter.

**Motion** made by John Hurley and seconded by Alfred Imgrund to grant \$2,000 to the Fort Lauderdale Police Department for graffiti reduction.

William Goetz stated that he agreed in principle, but the fact of the matter is that they had not been funded. Therefore, they may not want to “cut off their nose to spite their face.”

Michael Kimmey stated that he preferred the granting of about \$10,000 to this group.

Motion failed 3-5.

**Motion** made by Robert Smith and seconded by William Goetz to grant \$10,000 to the Fort Lauderdale Police Department for graffiti reduction. Motion carried 6-2.

#### Russell Life Skills

Margarette Hayes explained that this agency had requested \$50,000.

Marie Conroy stated they had a concentrated effort, and therefore, had a very effective program. She stated that this year they were more comprehensive in regard to their program.

Alfred Imgrund stated that he totally approved of this program.

William Goetz stated that they had been around for a few years, but their presentation had not stated how successful their program had been.

Vice Chair Robert Pascal stated they had provided some reports, but had made comparisons regarding the FCAT.

Sanford Rosenthal stated that he liked the program, but he felt that possibly the Fort Lauderdale School System should be doing a better job.

John Hurley asked what happened to the funds that were not spent. Margarette Hayes stated that the monies not allocated this evening would go into the General Program Operations. John Hurley asked if the monies would still be controlled by this Board. Margarette Hayes stated that this Board would have no control over the funds. John Hurley stated he wondered what their goal really was, and should they spend as much as possible or be more judicious regarding the allocations. Margarette Hayes explained that the goal was to provide support for the non-profit agencies so they could proceed with their programs.

Margarette Hayes stated that this agency had not received any CDBG funds last year.



**Motion** made by Alfred Imgrund and seconded by Michael Kimmey to grant \$40,000 to Russell Life Skills.

Sanford Rosenthal stated that he would be in favor of granting them \$25,000.

William Goetz stated that he thought he had asked for comparisons to be provided regarding their program and other remedial programs in the area. Marie Conroy stated that she believed they had stated that there were no other comparable programs in the area. William Goetz asked if their approach was successful. He stated further that many private groups had latched on to funding due to the schools failing in their teaching, but there had not been sufficient scrutiny of the groups. He felt they had to be conservative regarding some of the groups.

Motion passed 5-3.

Margarette Hayes announced that there was \$122,000 left to be distributed.

#### FAU Small Business Development Center

William Goetz stated that this group did not appear to show any evidence that their programs were effective.

Michael Kimmey stated that he was concerned they had no way to reach their target market.

Vice Chair Robert Pascal stated that they had not provided any assurances during their presentation as to whether they were going to serve Fort Lauderdale or most of Broward County.

Alfred Imgrund stated that he believed a verbal commitment had been made during their presentations that the funding would serve individuals in Fort Lauderdale.

Robert Smith stated that this group's mission statement was that they would have to serve anyone who walked through their doors.

**Motion** made by John Hurley and seconded by William Goetz to grant \$1,000 to the FAU Small Business Development Center. Motion passed 5-3.

#### Atlantic Institute of Oriental Medicine

Margarette Hayes stated they were requesting \$120,013.20.

William Goetz stated this was a unique program, but he believed there was no evidence that acupuncture worked in regard to the population they were attempting to target. Sanford Rosenthal stated that he was informed they wanted to target low-income and no-income individuals.

Marie Conroy stated that she inquired if there was resistance to the program. The representative of the Institute stated that the elderly were more receptive and found the

program to be successful. She felt this was an interesting approach and probably would be used more in the future.

Michael Kimmey felt the people being targeted would otherwise end up in the Emergency Rooms and cost the taxpayers more money, and possibly this program could be an alternative for their care.

Sanford Rosenthal suggested that they grant some funds to help them get off the ground, but not grant the full amount being requested.

John Hurley felt they would be giving money to "hocus pocus," and he was not in favor of granting any funds to this organization.

William Goetz stated that acupuncture does help some individuals, but questioned whether it would help an entire class of individuals. He felt they would be giving money to something that might not work.

Alfred Imgrund stated that this type of treatment worked for some individuals, and felt it might have some merit.

**Motion** made by Alfred Imgrund and seconded by John Hurley that no funds be allocated to this Institution.

John Hurley suggested that additional funds be given to teach children and feed the hungry.

Alfred Imgrund stated that this program has not been shown to be clinically effective.

Vice Chair Robert Pascal stated that evidence has been shown that the program is effective, but he was not sure it tied into the purpose of this grant.

Marie Conroy stated that programs were offered to children supplying attention to them, and she felt this program focused on a different target market. She believed a portion of the money should be granted, and then they could monitor the program and see how effective it had been and then determine if funds should be allocated to this group next year.

Motion failed 3-5.

**Motion** made by Robert Smith and seconded by William Goetz to grant \$20,000 to the Atlantic Institute of Oriental Medicine.

Margarette Hayes stated this agency was requesting over \$120,000 and \$97,000 of it was to be allocated for staffing expenses. She explained they might reject the funding or adjust their numbers.

Michael Kimmey suggested that possibly \$25,000 should be granted to this agency.

Motion failed 3-5.

**Motion** made by Marie Conroy and seconded by Michael Kimmey to grant \$25,000 to the Atlantic School of Oriental Medicine. Motion failed 4-4.

**Motion** made by Sanford Rosenthal and seconded by Robert Smith to grant \$22,000 to the Atlantic School of Oriental Medicine.

Marie Conroy reminded everyone that they were getting to the bottom of the list and the money needed to be allocated accordingly. Sanford Rosenthal stated that the Board could always adjust the amounts given to the organizations.

Motion carried 5-3.

#### Parks and Recreation – Bass Carter Youth Aquatic and Fitness Program

Margarette Hayes reminded the Board that \$99,000 was left to be allocated.

Sanford Rosenthal stated they were making good use of the money.

Alfred Imgrund stated that this should be funded by the civic body responsible for it.

William Goetz asked if the monies were to be used for a fitness center and trainer. Vice Chair Robert Pascal confirmed. William Goetz stated that they did not know how effective this program would be, and he felt the application was done poorly. He further stated that the monies could be allocated for a better purpose.

Marie Conroy stated that in the application \$300 - \$400 were to be spent for cell phones.

**Motion** made by Alfred Imgrund and seconded by William Goetz to deny the request submitted by the Parks and Recreation Department of the City of Fort Lauderdale for monies to be spent on the Bass Carter Youth Aquatic and Fitness Program. Motion passed 7-1.

#### Fort Lauderdale Children's Theatre

Sanford Rosenthal stated that this program also included a reading program. He believed the schools should be doing that.

Margarette Hayes stated that this group had requested \$125,250.

John Hurley stated that he was a product of this theatre and felt it was a great program.

Robert Smith stated that he did not approve of how they allocated public funds that were received. John Hurley reiterated that 40 years ago, it had been a great program.

William Goetz stated that 75% of their request was to be allocated towards salaries. He further stated that the attendance figures showed they had taken their road show to many places which he thought was good, but more funds should be allocated towards scholarships. He stated that possibly they should grant them \$50,000 to be used towards scholarships.

Alfred Imgrund stated they could not do that, and explained that he had been in a children's theatre years ago. He felt this was a worthy organization, but he had reservations whether they should receive any funds. He stated that he had been concerned that the organization had been cited by the Building Department for not maintaining their premises. He suggested that a lesser amount be granted to this group.

**Motion** made by Robert Smith and seconded by John Hurley that \$17,000 be granted to the Fort Lauderdale Children's Theatre.

William Goetz asked once again if restrictions could be placed on the funds being allocated.

Margarette Hayes stated that applications were submitted and requirements were that the organizations had to be within the City limits, and service supplied to low and moderate income children. She stated that the caveat could be placed that \$17,000 be restricted to services for the children. She further stated that the City Commission would make the final decision. She added that the organization could always reject the funds.

Sanford Rosenthal stated that he had been informed that the site could be redeveloped.

William Goetz asked if the maker of the Motion would be agreeable to a friendly amendment that \$25,000 be granted to this group to be used for scholarship purposes.

John Hurley and Robert Smith stated that could not be done.

Motion carried 6-2.

#### Future Stars – Youth Sports Association

Margarette Hayes stated that this group had requested \$157,426.

William Goetz stated that in reviewing the figures approximately \$4,000 is to be spent on each client. He felt that was very high.

**Motion** made by William Goetz and seconded by Alfred Imgrund that no funds be allocated to the Future Stars – Youth Sports Association.

Alfred Imgrund stated that he was offended by the Judge being a personal client of the Chair person's at that time. He stated this might be a good program, but they were being asked to supply funds to begin this program.

John Hurley stated that he had been the Judge's prosecutor years ago, and trophies were in his Chambers from all the kids who had become great citizens. He continued stating that the Judge had done a lot of good in these types of programs, and had been a very successful guidance outlet for individuals. He felt funds should be allocated to this organization. He added that the Judge was a well-known person and had dedicated his entire life to public service.

Marie Conroy stated that this group needed some assistance on managing their funds.

John Hurley stated that lawyers had been asked to sponsor activities and uniforms for the children in the past.

Michael Kimmey stated that some funds could be allocated to this group, but they should seek sponsorships elsewhere to help out with the program.

**Motion** made by William Goetz and seconded by John Hurley that \$30,000 be granted to the Future Stars – Youth Sports Association.

Sanford Rosenthal stated that he had visited the site and he was informed that hotel costs and other trip expenses were large funding items.

Motion carried unanimously.

#### ComServ - Protectman

William Goetz stated that there was no evidence that this would be an effective program. He stated that he was concerned about giving the funds to only one individual.

**Motion** made by William Goetz and seconded by John Hurley not to grant any funding to ComServ – Protectman. Board unanimously approved.

#### Mt. Olive Development Corporation

William Goetz stated that 30% of their budget had not been spent. He did not feel they should receive any additional funds.

Alfred Imgrund stated that he had visited the site but no information had been granted to him, and no one called him offering such information.

**Motion** made by William Goetz and seconded by Michael Kimmey that no funds be granted to the Mt. Olive Development Corporation, Employment Training and Job Placement Services.

John Hurley stated that Mt. Olive might be the premier of Fort Lauderdale Black Churches.

Motion carried 6-2.

#### Susie C. Holly Cradle Nursery

Alfred Imgrund stated that the Montessori Schools were effective, but he had not been impressed with the presentation that had been given.

William Goetz stated that he asked for some evidence of the success of their programs, and he felt only superficial studies had been presented. He stated he did not really approve funding for this school.

**Motion** made by John Hurley and seconded by Robert Smith to not grant any funds to the Susie C. Holly Cradle Nursery. Board unanimously approved.

Sanford Rosenthal suggested that the remaining funds be pro-rated among the organizations that had been granted funding.

John Hurley suggested the Board reconsider granting funds to the Mt. Olive Development Corporation. He stated that funds were granted to organizations that were in and out of the City. This congregation was an 80-year old group in the City and he felt they had a moral duty to be true to this group. He stated they needed to support the Downtown community.

Alfred Imgrund stated that he would agree to granting funds to this organization.

John Hurley suggested that this Board reconsider granting funds to the Mt. Olive Development Corporation.

**Motion** made by Alfred Imgrund and seconded by John Hurley to reconsider the request of the Mt. Olive Development Corporation and that \$25,000 be allocated to this organization.

Margarette Hayes stated that this group received monies from HOPWA and Health and Human Services, along with funding from the US Department of Justice – Family and Friends Program. She further advised that the HOPWA funds could only be spent on housing for individuals who contracted HIV/AIDS.

Motion carried 7-1.

Margarette Hayes stated that \$27,010 still remained to be allocated.

John Hurley suggested that \$17,000 be granted to Women in Distress, and the remaining funds to the Girl Scouts.

**Motion** made by John Hurley that \$17,000 in additional funds be granted to Women in Distress and the balance of \$10,010 be allocated to the Girl Scouts of Broward County in addition to what had been previously granted.

William Goetz asked if the organizations had to show how such monies were spent.

Margarette Hayes advised that agreements were entered into by the organizations with budgets attached, and monthly reimbursement requests were made for services provided. The groups were monitored in accordance with the signed agreements.

Board unanimously approved.

Margarette Hayes announced that the award recommendations had been made as follows:

Women in Distress	\$42,000
Girl Scouts of Broward County	\$30,010
First Call for Help	\$75,000
Starting Over	\$36,690
Broward Homebound Program	\$25,000

COMMUNITY SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD

MAY 9, 2005

PAGE 15

Fort Lauderdale Police Department Graffiti Reduction and Community Quality Improvement Project	\$10,000
Russell Life Skills and Reading Education	\$40,000
FAU Small Business Development Center	\$ 1,000
Atlantic Institute of Oriental Medicine	\$22,000
Parks and Recreation – Bass Carter Youth Aquatic and Fitness Program	\$ -0-
Fort Lauderdale Children’s Theatre	\$17,000 (for scholarships)
Future Stars – Youth Sports Association	\$30,000
ComServ – Protect Man	\$ -0-
Mt. Olive Development Corporation	\$25,000
Suscie C. Holly Cradle Nursery	\$ -0-

**Election of Chairperson**

Margarette Hayes stated that they had received a formal letter of resignation from Chas Brady as Chairperson of this Board. She added that a new Chairperson needed to be elected. A call was received from Jennie Brooks saying that she would volunteer to be Chairperson.

William Goetz asked if a reason had been given for his resignation. Margarette Hayes stated that she had not yet received a copy of the letter, and she had just been informed by the City Clerk’s Office of the resignation.

William Goetz further stated that he felt the Board should think about this and elect someone at their next meeting.

**Motion** made by John Hurley and seconded by Robert Smith that Vice Chair Robert Pascal remain as Acting Chair of the Community Services Board until the Board’s June meeting. Board unanimously approved.

Marie Conroy asked who would consider being Chair of this Board. Acting Chair Robert Pascal stated that he would prefer remaining Vice Chair of this Board.

Alfred Imgrund stated that according to the Sunshine Law the Board was prohibited from discussing matters outside of the scheduled meetings. He also announced that he would not be present at next month’s meeting due to being on vacation.

Sanford Rosenthal asked if Mr. Imgrund would consider being Chair of this Board. Alfred Imgrund stated that he would advise Margarette Hayes if he would consider doing so.

**Other Business**

Margarette Hayes advised that at next month’s meeting, the Board would be discussing the next Five-Year Consolidated Plan.

Robert Smith stated that the Board needed to thank Charles Brady for his service on this Board. Alfred Imgrund suggested that a Certificate of Appreciation be given to Mr. Brady for his service.

**Motion** made by Robert Smith and seconded by John Hurley that a Certificate of Appreciation be presented to Charles Brady for his service. Board unanimously approved.

**Motion** made by John Hurley and seconded by Robert Smith to adjourn the meeting. The Board unanimously approved.

There being no other business to come before this Board, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted

Margaret A. D'Alessio  
Recording Secretary