## SPECIAL MASTER HEARING City Commission Meeting Room Special Master Meah Tell, Presiding February 19, 2004 9:00 A.M. – 2 P.M.

### Staff Present:

Eve Bazer, Administrative Assistant Assistant City Attorney Jennifer Chenault, Secretary Leonard Ackley, Community Inspections Officer Alberto Benavides, Community Inspections Officer Andre Cross, Community Inspections Officer Mike Donovan, Community Inspections Officer Burt Fletcher, Community Inspections Officer Robert Guilford, Community Inspections Officer John Hudak, Community Inspections Officer Lee Kaplan, Community Inspections Officer Gilbert Lopez, Community Inspections Officer Mike Maloney, Community Inspections Officer Skip Margerum, Community Inspections Officer Dan Mullarkey, Community Inspections Officer Linda Nigg, Occupational License Inspector Todd Nobles. Community Inspections Officer Cheryl Pingitore, Community Inspections Officer Frank Stockinger, Community Inspections Officer

### Also Present:

William Eaton, CE04011271 Jerold Narine, CE04011185 Patricia Swiech, CE03111116 Philippe Symonovicz, CE03121852 Steven Bramson, CE03121852 Greta Evenfeld, CE03121852 Fred Taylor, CE03121852 Anneliese Linner, CE03121852 Eileen Helfer, CE03121852 Dorothy McEvoy, CE03121852 Joseph Hessmann, CE03121852 Randy Goodman Edward Zinkil, CE03121903 Onofrio Castagna, CE04011204 Ed Toomey, CE03110574

Carol Schweitzer, CE00110629 Elibien Bien Oume, CE03071954 Belinda Chung, CE03121242 Pauline Diaz, CE03121263 Bridget Harris, CE03090321 Martin Davis, CE04010029 George McKee, CE03091511 Jordon Cohn, CE03110574

NOTE: All individuals who presented information to the Special Master during these proceedings affirmed they would speak only the truth.

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 A.M. Ms. Tell introduced herself and explained her role in ensuring adherence with the City's codes. She also pointed out that the proceedings were being recorded.

## Reference CE03121852

Fred & Greta Taylor 7 North Birch Road Tenant: Interlude 5 Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: vessel is operating as a commercial venture in a zone I.O.A.

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail addressed to the owner and the tenant had both been accepted on January 30, 2004 and personal service had been made by Inspector Guilford on January 29, 2004.

Mr. Phil Symonovicz, attorney for the owner, stated that he was filing a second motion for a continuance. An application had been filed with the Zoning Board to try to establish this as conforming or non-conforming preexisting legal use. There was a potential conflict between the Zoning Board and Code Enforcement. He had just obtained the first survey conducted on the property since 1947. He requested a 90-day continuance.

Ms. Tell informed Mr. Symonovicz that she could order a compliance date that would allow the business to continue to operate. Mr. Symonovicz wanted to be sure this would not constitute an adjudication of guilt and Ms. Tell assured him it did not.

Mr. Fred Taylor, respondent, explained that this was a glass-bottomed boat ride from his hotel. His business was supported by various local organizations and even his neighbors.

Mr. Symonovicz asked what would happen if the Zoning Board granted the preexisting use. The City Attorney explained that if the decision from the Zoning Board was in Mr. Symonovicz's client's favor, the occupational license would be granted. This would comply the second violation as well.

Mr. Robert Guilford, Community Inspections Officer, recommended the maximum fine of \$250.00 per day, per violation. Ms. Tell asked what his basis was for requesting the maximum. Mr. Guilford stated that he had exhibits to demonstrate that this was a non-permitted, prohibited use. Neither the vessel nor the hotel had an occupational license to permit this activity at the dock. The second issue was the zoning issue; the Interlude 5 was formerly docked at 801 Seabreeze Boulevard and had an occupational license to operate there. That area was zoned for this type of activity. The business was having a negative impact on its current neighborhood and was affecting the "integrity" of the neighborhood.

Mr. Guilford testified that he had cited the property – The Wish You Were Here Inn – and the tenant – Interlude 5. The Interlude 5 was engaged in business without an occupational license. The business consisted of boat rides, picking up and discharging passengers on Birch Road. The vessel was operating as a commercial venture within zone I.O.A (the Intracoastal Overlook Area). Mr. Guilford had retrieved specificity in the codification stating a list of permitted uses in the I.O.A. If a use was not listed, it was therefore prohibited. He presented photographs of the property to illustrate the property's proximity to adjacent properties that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1. Mr. Symonovicz objected to the offer of evidence as he already had an agreement with the City Attorney that no evidence would be presented demonstrating the activity as everyone stipulated that the activity was taking place.

Mr. Guilford then presented an aerial view of the property and a GIS map to demonstrate that the property was, in fact, in the I.O.A. This was accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 2. Mr. Symonovicz stipulated to this as well. Mr. Guilford then presented copies of all permits and occupational licenses given to 7 North Birch Road, none of which included a permit to operate the vessel from the dock, and permits given to the Interlude 5 to operate from the Seabreeze address. Mr. Symonovicz objected to these documents as the permits referred to improvements done on the property; he could not see the relevance to the occupational license issue. Ms. Tell explained that Mr. Guilford was proving that there was no current occupational license for this vessel to operate. Mr. Symonovicz argued that this paperwork concerned permits, not occupational licenses. Mr. Guilford stated that he was trying to demonstrate that there was no prior history of this use.

Mr. Guilford reiterated that any use not specifically listed for the I.O.A. was a prohibited use. Ms. Tell clarified that Mr. Guilford's testimony and evidence were submitted to demonstrate that operating the charter boat from this location was a prohibited use and a violation of Section 47-34.1 A.1. Ms. Tell accepted Mr. Guilford's exhibits: a copy of ULDR 47:144 Section 47-12.5 D concerning the I.O.A. permitted uses, ULDR Section 47-1.14 pages 47:7 and 8, and ULDR Section 47-3.1 page 47:12 concerning non-conforming use, as City composite exhibit 3. Ms. Tell stated that she did not see the relevance of composite 4 (the permit copies) so she would mark them as such but not admit them.

Mr. Guilford then submitted copies of his inspection history to demonstrate that he visited the property first on September 3, 2003 and complied the property immediately prior to the hearing for that violation. These copies were accepted into evidence as City composite

exhibit 5. The tenant had begun the activity again in December 2003 and Mr. Guilford had cited the violation on December 31, 2003. Ms. Tell asked if this was therefore a recurring violation; Mr. Guilford explained it was not, as the property was complied before the first hearing. Mr. Guilford wanted to state for the record that he had personally witnessed this activity taking place.

Mr. Symonovicz stated that when his client purchased the property it was zoned R4; when ordinance C88-51 was passed in 1988, 6 different beach districts were created. The pertinent section for this case was 47-72.23: non-conforming uses and structures. This clearly pointed out that any structure in existence on the effective date of the ordinance and in compliance with zoning regulations in effect immediately prior to the effective date of the ordinance and client's purchase of the property. It also stated that any use in existence in compliance with zoning regulations immediately prior to the effective date of the ordinance with zoning regulations immediately prior to the effective date of the property. It also stated that any use in existence in compliance with zoning regulations immediately prior to the effective date of the ordinance but not permitted use as provided in the ordinance may continue in effect as a non-conforming use. This charter boat therefore constituted a continuing, non-conforming use. A copy of 47-72.23 was accepted into evidence as respondent's exhibit 1.

The City Attorney admitted that she was not prepared to discuss this ordinance. Ms. Tell stated that she would grant the continuance requested by Mr. Symonovicz. The City Attorney stated that she would request a 2-week continuance to reappear with a staff person from the Zoning Department to explain.

Ms. Lori Milano, Community Inspections Director, stated that there were members of the public present who wished to speak regarding this case.

Ms. Eileen Helfer, resident of 95 North Birch Road, stated that she was speaking as president of the Harbor Haven, located 2 buildings north of the Wish You Were Here Inn. She submitted a schedule of the charter boat's departures and noted that additional piling was installed to handle the boat and she believed that proper procedures were not followed for this installation. Jamie Hart from the Division of Development and Marine Services had informed her last year that they were working to get the boat moved. The boat operator had informed Mr. Hart that there was a contract with the hotel and it could not be broken. The residents of her building did not support the use of this boat at its current location. Mr. Symonovicz asked Ms. Helfer if this was a board decision that she was expressing or just her own opinion. Ms. Helfer replied that she had discussed this with her board; it was not just her opinion. Mr. Symonovicz asked if the other boats docked at the inn performed work there and Mr. Helfer replied that they had but not to the extent that this boat had. He asked her about the refueling incident and she informed him that a boat came up the Intracoastal to refuel the Interlude 5.

Ms. Dorothy McEvoy, resident of Harbor House North, stated that she became aware of the boat when a friend asked if she could park in Ms. McEvoy's condo lot to attend a funeral on the boat. Since then, Ms. McEvoy had kept a record of the boat's trips. Mr. Symonovicz asked about the frequency of other boats' trips in the area; Ms. Tell stopped him and stated

that she wanted to focus on everyone's opinion regarding how long a continuance Ms. Tell should grant. Ms. Helfer and Ms. McEvoy's evidence was accepted by Ms. Tell.

Mr. Joe Hessmann, member of the Marine Advisory Board, stated that he had never seen such a blatant, illegal operation on the Intracoastal. He listed "what is illegal, period; there is no jurisdiction, no grandfathering of it or anything."

- The boat was illegal;
- The motel had the boat there illegally;
- There was an illegal piling installed there;
- There was illegal fueling at the dock;
- The boat had loose chairs on the deck.

Mr. Hessmann stated that Jamie Hart had tried desperately to help the boat operator relocate. Mr. Hessmann and Mr. Hart had found another place for the boat to dock last year but the boat operator had declined due to the rental agreement.

Mr. Hessman was quite upset and stated that he had spoken with "one of these gentlemen standing here" yesterday and during this conversation he stated he was "blasted with being in cahoots with Jamie [Hart], questioning my integrity of 9 nine years of serving this city and then the person accusing outragely [sic] that Jamie Hart was a damned liar. And that's why I'm here; I refuse to listen to these kind of people any longer." Ms. Tell asked if Symonovicz had any questions for Mr. Hessmann. Mr. Hessmann stated, "I don't have an attorney with me and I will not answer his questions."

Mr. Stern Bramson, owner of the Interlude 5, stated that he was the person Mr. Hessman had spoken to yesterday. Mr. Bramson thought it might be necessary to go before the Marine Advisory Board at some point and had called Mr. Hessman and other members to see how soon this could happen. The Chairman of the Marine Advisory Board had invited Mr. Bramson to make a proposal at the next meeting in late March. Mr. Bramson had experienced difficulty getting Mr. Hart to return his calls. He felt they would need at least 60 days to work out problems with the City regarding dock rental and zoning issues. Ms. Tell stated that they should reappear in 2 weeks with the stipulation that there was a violation of 15-28.

Mr. Bramson read a letter received from Carolyn Michaels, Executive Vice President of the Beach Council of the Greater Ft. Lauderdale Chamber of Commerce. The letter lauded Mr. Taylor's contributions to charity and the Chamber of Commerce and ended with a request for the Special Master's support for Mr. Taylor's charter boat rides.

Ms. Tell pointed out that Mr. Symonovicz was ready with his argument but; the City was not, so she wished to grant the continuance to allow the City to prepare.

Ms. Tell granted a continuance to March 4, 2004 with regard to Section 47-34.1 A.1. She left it up to the Special Master at the March 4 hearing to ratify and approved the stipulation that there was in fact a violation of Section 15-28.

## Reference CE03110574

Swiss Beach HoldingsRescheduled from 12/18/03229 South Ft. Lauderdale Beach BoulevardTenant: Blondie's on the Beach

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail addressed to the tenant had been accepted (no date on card). Correspondence received from the owner's attorney had been dated January 14, 2004.

Mr. Jordon Cohn, attorney for the respondent, stated that he had previously filed a motion for a continuance. He had also filed for a protective order and filed an appeal regarding the constitutionality of the sign code and its application to the tenant of the property. He felt there was no reason for the City to re-cite his client when the issue was before the Circuit Court. When Mr. Tyson recited his client in November 2003, the City's answer brief was still outstanding. He felt the current violation could wait until these issues were resolved by the Circuit court. He requested at least 90 days for the decision to be made. He felt that he would need 2 days to present his case to the Special Master; he had spoken to the City Attorney about this and she had objected.

Mr. Cohn wished to discuss the selective enforcement issue; Ms. Tell extended a lengthy explanation regarding perception of selective enforcement and informed Mr. Cohn that this was a common issue with code enforcement violators. Mr. Cohn stated that Special Master Zann had provided the same sort of explanation regarding selective enforcement last year and refused to allow him to present his case. This refusal had subsequently become part of his appeal. The second issue that he felt would require even more time was the vagueness and ambiguity of the sign code. He cited facts obtained through deposition that highlighted this alleged ambiguity. Ms. Tell asked how many of these issues would be decided in his appeal. Mr. Cohn stated that they all would.

The City Attorney related the dates of the citation and the rescheduling of Mr. Cohn's appearance at this hearing to allow Mr. Cohn time to appear in January at the protective order hearing. She had then agreed, due to Mr. Coffey's vacation, to reschedule this case for March 4 and appear here today for an agreed order for continuance. She stated that the protective order hearing had been resolved in January in favor of the City.

Ms. Tell felt they must wait for the appeal to be completed, as that would affect the status of this violation, i.e., whether it constituted a repeat violation. Ms. Tell asked if there was any reason why Mr. Cohn's client couldn't just remove the violating signs or alter them to conform to code while the cases were pending. Mr. Cohn replied that his client had, in fact, altered the signs or removed them from the City's jurisdiction. The City Attorney stated that

the City had the ability to regulate signs on private property, contrary to Mr. Cohn's assertion that his client's signs on private property were not under the City's jurisdiction. She further stated that sandwich signs were not permitable.

Ms. Tell viewed photographs taken by Mr. Tyson and his inspection report and accepted them into evidence as City composite exhibit 1.

Ms. Tell asked Mr. Cohn if, as a practical matter, he might not want to have Special Master Zann hear the case in March; Mr. Cohn responded that many of these issues depended on his appeal. Ms. Tell asked him to have his client remove the signs and offered to continue the case to June 3, 2004 when Ms. Tell could hear the case. In the meantime, she did not want the neighboring businesses to claim selective enforcement because his client was displaying signs and not being cited for them.

The City Attorney agreed to schedule the hearing for the afternoon session of March 4 to ensure sufficient time.

Ms. Tell continued the case to March 4, 2004 at 1 P.M.

## Reference CE04011149

Randy Goodman 720 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property;
	Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property;
	Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service;
	Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person;
	Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair;
	Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved
	enclosure;
	Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair;
	Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping;
	Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance;
	Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping;
	Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of
	unlicensed, inoperable vehicles;
	Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens;
	Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair;
	Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing;
	Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair;
	Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable,
	unlicensed vehicle on property;
	Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. John Gossman, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was no trash removal service; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing, and the property did not meet retro landscape requirements; Sections 15-28, 18-1, 18-27(a), 24-4, 25-56(b), 47-24.1 A.1, 9-278(g), 9-280(b), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), 9-281(b), and 9-306 were now complied.

Mr. Gossman specifically explained remediation of the trash problem to Mr. Goodman and presented photographs of the problem that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1. Ms. Tell accepted photographs of the parking area, landscaping and rear landscaping as City exhibits 2, 3, and 4 respectively. Mr. Gossman recommended 60 days to comply the remaining violations or a fine of \$100.00 per day per violation.

Mr. Goodman, the respondent, stated that he owned 15 of the 24 buildings on this stretch of Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace. Many of the owners were working together to solve the trash collection problem. They also had permit applications submitted to resurface the street, and plans were in the works for a dumpster enclosure. He submitted photographs of the frontage that were accepted into evidence as respondent's exhibit 1. He explained work being done on the sprinkler system that was needed before the landscaping and ground cover could be updated.

Mr. Goodman and his partner had met with Rose Reed, Community Code Supervisor, and Doug Kurtock, Building Inspector, at the property two days ago and determined that not all of the buildings were complied on the same points. Mr. Gossman wanted to clarify that 3 Sections: 47-21.9 G.1, 47-21.10 B.1, and 47-21.8 would be addressed as one violation for the purpose of the fine. The City Attorney wanted to list the violations so Mr. Goodman knew what action to take, but for the purpose of the fine the City wanted one violation of Section 47-21 that must be corrected within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 24-28(a), 47-19.4 D.1, and 47-20.20 H, within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04011155

Randy & Evelyn Goodman 732 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace

- Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license;
- Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property;
- Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property;
- Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service;
- Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person;
- Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair;
- Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure;
- Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair;

> Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. John Gossman, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was no trash removal service; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements and portions of the soffit required repair; Sections 15-28, 18-1, 18-27(a), 24-4, 25-56(b), 47-24.1 A.1, 9-278(g), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), 9-281(b), and 9-306 were now complied. Mr. Gossman presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended the same compliance conditions as the previous case.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 24-28(a), 47-19.4 D.1, 9-289(b), and 47-20.20 H, within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

Daniel Mangar 736 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair; Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure; Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping;
	Sec. 47-21.9 G.1. Code-required landscaping, Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles;

> Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 2, 2004.

Mr. John Gossman, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was trash and overgrowth on the property and swale; there was no trash removal service; there was no on-site manager; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements; screens were torn or missing, and the structure was not maintained. Sections 15-28, 18-1, 25-56(b), 47-34.1 A.1, 9-280(f), 9-280(g), and 9-281(b) were now complied. Mr. Gossman presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended compliance deadlines and fines identical to Mr. Goodman's cases.

Mr. Jerold Narine, representative for the respondent, informed Ms. Tell that his client was working with Mr. Goodman on all the remaining violations. Ms. Tell and the City Attorney clarified requirements for compliance with Mr. Narine.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 18-27(a), 18-1, 25-56(b), 47-19.4 D.1, 47-20.20 H, 9-278(g), 9-280(b), and 9-306 within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

Daniel Mangar 728 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair; Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure; Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of
	Sec. 47-21.9 G.1. Code-required landscaping, Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles;

> Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 2, 2004.

Mr. John Gossman, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was no trash removal service; there was no on-site manager; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements, and the structure was not maintained. Sections 15-28, 18-1, 18-27(a), 25-56(b), 47-34.1 A.1, 9-278(g), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), and 9-281(b) were now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and requested that the compliance dates and fines be handled as Mr. Mangar's previous case.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 24-28(a), 24-4, 47-19.4 D.1, 47-20.20 H, 9-280(b), and 9-306 within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04011150

Lancet Loftus & Co. Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; 724 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property: Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair; Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure: Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(a): Missing/torn screens: Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable,

> unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail addressed to an officer of the company had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. John Gossman, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was no trash removal service; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing, and the property did not meet retro landscape requirements. Sections 15-28, 18-1, 18-27(a), 24-4, 25-56(b), 47-34.1 A.1, 9-278(g), 9-280(b), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), 9-281(b), and 9-306 were now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended the compliance dates and fines be handled the same as Mr. Goodman's previous cases.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 24-28(a), 47-19.4 D.1, and 47-20.20 H within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04011130

Randy Goodman 701 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	<ul> <li>Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license;</li> <li>Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property;</li> <li>Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property;</li> <li>Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service;</li> <li>Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person;</li> <li>Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure;</li> <li>Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping;</li> <li>Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles;</li> <li>Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens;</li> <li>Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable,</li> </ul>

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. Lee Kaplan, Community Inspections Officer, testified there was trash and overgrowth on the property and swale; there was no trash removal service; the sidewalk was in disrepair and not maintained; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements; there were unlicensed or inoperable vehicles stored on a residential property and there was rubbish and unlicensed, inoperable vehicles on the property. Sections 15-28, 18-1, 24-4, 9-278(g), 9-280(b), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), and 9-306 were now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended that compliance dates and fines be handled the same as Mr. Goodman's previous cases.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 18-27(a), 24-28(a), 25-56(b), 47-19.4 D.1, 47-20.20.H, 47-34.1 A.1 and 9-281(b) within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04011131

Lancet Loftus & Co. 705 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	<ul> <li>Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license;</li> <li>Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property;</li> <li>Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property;</li> <li>Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service;</li> <li>Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person;</li> <li>Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure;</li> <li>Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping;</li> <li>Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles;</li> <li>Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens;</li> <li>Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property;</li> </ul>
	unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. Lee Kaplan, Community Inspections Officer, testified there was trash and overgrowth on the property and swale; there was no trash removal service; the sidewalk was in

disrepair and not maintained; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements; there were unlicensed or inoperable vehicles stored on a residential property, and there was rubbish and unlicensed, inoperable vehicles on the property. Sections 15-28, 18-1, 24-4, 9-278(g), 9-280(b), 9-280(f), 9-280(g) and 9-306 were now complied. He recommended that the compliance dates and fines be handled the same as Mr. Goodman's previous cases.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 18-27(a), 24-28(a), 25-56(b), 47-19.4 D.1, 47-20.20.H, 47-34.1 A.1 and 9-281(b) within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04011133

Randy Goodman Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; 709 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair; Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure; Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property: Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. Lee Kaplan, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was trash and overgrowth on the property and swale; there was no trash removal service; the sidewalk was in disrepair and not maintained; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements, and there were unlicensed or inoperable vehicles stored on a residential property. Sections 15-28,

18-1, 24-4, 9-278(g), 9-280(b), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), 9-281(b), and 9-306 were now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were admitted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended that the compliance dates and fines be handled the same as Mr. Goodman's previous cases.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 18-27(a), 24-28(a), 25-56(b), 47-19.4 D.1, 47-20.20.H, and 47-34.1 A.1 within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04011136

Randy & Evelyn Goodman Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; 713 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property: Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair; Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure: Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. Lee Kaplan, Community Inspections Officer, testified there was trash and overgrowth on the property and swale; there was no trash removal service; the sidewalk was in disrepair and not maintained; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements; there were unlicensed or inoperable vehicles stored on a residential property, and there was rubbish and unlicensed, inoperable vehicles on the property. Sections 15-28, 18-1, 24-4, 9-278(g), 9-280(b), 9-280(f), and 9-280(g) were now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 18-27(a), 24-28(a), 25-56(b), 47-19.4 D.1, 47-20.20.H, 47-34.1 A.1 and 9-281(b) within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04011138

Randy Goodman 744 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair; Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure; Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. Andre Cross, Community Inspections Officer, testified there was no trash removal service; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements, and the structure was not maintained. Sections 15-28, 18-1, 18-27(a), 24-4, 25-56, 47-19.4 D.1, 47-34.1 A.1, 9-278(g), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), and 9-281(b) were now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 24-28(a), 47-20.20.H, 9-280(b), and 9-306 within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

Randy & Evelyn Goodman 740 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair: Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure: Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. Andre Cross, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was no trash removal service; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements, and the structure was not maintained. Sections 15-28. 18-1, 18-27(a), 24-4, 25-56(b), 47-34.1 A.1, 9-278(g), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), and 9-280(b) were now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 24-28(a), 47-19.4 D.1, 47-20.20.H, 9-280(b), and 9-306 within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

### Reference CE04011141

Randy & Evelyn Goodman 741 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace

- Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license;
- Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property;
- Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property;
- Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service;
- Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person;
- Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair;

> Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure; Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. Andre Cross, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was no trash removal service; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements, and the structure was not maintained. Sections 15-28, 18-1, 18-27(a), 24-4, 25-56(b), 47-24.1 A.1, 9-278(g), 9-280(g), and 9-281(b) were now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 24-28(a), 47-19.4 D.1, 47-20.20.H, 9-280(b), and 9-306 within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04011142

Daniel Mangar 745 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair; Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure; Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping;

> Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 2, 2004.

Mr. Andre Cross, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was no occupational license for the apartment building; there was no trash removal service; there was no on-site manager; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing; property did not meet retro landscape requirements, and the structure was not maintained. Sections 18-1, 18-27(a), 24-56(b), 47-34.1 A.1, 9-278(g), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), and 9-281(b) were now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 15-28, 24-28(a), 24-4, 47-19.4 D.1, 47-20.20.H, 9-280(b), and 9-306 within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04010029

American Federated Title Corp. 1909 Northwest 9 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	Sec. 9-281(b): Unlicensed, inoperable vehicle on property;
	Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 47-34.3 c: Unpermitted signs

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail addressed to the registered agent and an officer of the company had both been accepted on January 30, 2004.

Ms. Cheryl Pingitore, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was an unlicensed, inoperable white van and Ford 150 on the property and there was trash and rubbish strewn on the property; Section 47-34.3 c was now complied. Ms. Pingitore recommended 7 days to comply Sections 9-281(b) and 18-27(a) or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation or the car would be towed.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City, ordered compliance with Sections 9-281(b) and 18-27(a) within 7 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation or the car would be towed.

## Reference CE04010710

Judith Rickel Antweiler Trustee 1901 North Federal Highway Sec. 47-19.4 D.4: Open dumpster enclosure gates; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish and trash on property; Sec. 47-19.4 D.8: Dumpster enclosure in disrepair

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted (no date on card).

Mr. Leonard Ackley, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the dumpster enclosure gates were left open; there was rubbish and trash strewn on the property and the dumpster enclosure was in disrepair. He recommended 10 days to comply all violations or a fine of \$100.00 per day per violation.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 10 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed.

## Reference CE04010838

Rubenstein Florida Properties	Sec. 24-28(a): Garbage accumulated around
6400 North Federal Highway	dumpster; Sec. 9-280(h)(1): CBS wall in disrepair;
	Sec. 9-305(b): Required ground cover

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail addressed to the owner and the company had both been accepted on February 4, 2004; certified mail addressed to the registered agent had been accepted on February 2, 2004.

Mr. Frank Stockinger, Community Inspections Officer, testified the CBS wall on the east property line was filthy and the property was overgrown and full of weeds; Section 24-28(a) was now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 45 days to comply or a fine of \$50.00 per day per violation.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with Sections 9-280(h)(1) and 9-305(b) within 45 days or a fine of \$50.00 per day, per violation would be imposed.

Nader Soliman	Sec. 24-28(a): Dumpster overflowing;
3061 Northeast 49 <sup>th</sup> Street	Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair;
	Sec. 9-281(b): Unlicensed, inoperable vehicle on
	property; Sec. 9-306: Peeling paint/surfaces
Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail	was accepted on January 31, 2004.

Mr. Frank Stockinger, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there were missing/torn screens; jalousies were missing, broken or inoperable; ceilings were moldy and damaged due to A/C condensation; there was a white Cadillac with flat tires and an expired tag on the property and the stucco on the third floor overhang was loose due to leaks; Section 24-28(a) was now complied. He recommended 30 days to comply or a fine of \$50.00 per day per violation.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with Sections 9-280(b), 9-281(b), and 9-306 within 30 days or a fine of \$50.00 per day, per violation would be imposed.

## Reference CE04011612

Adriana Nascimbeni 5712 Northeast 17 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable,
5712 Northeast 17 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Peeling paint/stained surfaces;
	Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses outside storage

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 31, 2004.

Mr. Frank Stockinger, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was trash, rubbish and a silver Mercury Grande Marquis with an expired tag on the property; the building was dirty with some peeling paint, and there was outside storage on the property. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 30 days to comply or a fine of \$25.00 per day per violation.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 30 days or a fine of \$25.00 per day, per violation would be imposed.

## Reference CE03121290

Ramiro Gonzales 3451 Southwest 20<sup>th</sup> Court Sec. 9-280(b):Trash and rubbish on property

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 5, 2004.

Mr. Gilbert Lopez, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was trash and rubbish on the property and construction equipment stored there. He recommended 14 days to comply or a fine of \$50.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 14 days or a fine of \$50.00 per day would be imposed.

### Reference CE03121434

Roberto Kenneth Gomez

Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property

3770 Southwest 19<sup>th</sup> Street

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 6, 2004.

Mr. Gilbert Lopez, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there were two unlicensed, inoperable vehicles on the property. He had reinspected the property and only 1 vehicle remained: a black Nissan. Mr. Lopez had spoken with the owner and agreed to recommended 7 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day, or the vehicle would be towed.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 7 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed or the vehicle would be towed.

### Reference CE03121940

Jaffe of Cypress Inc. 6314 Northwest 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue Sec. 47-22.9: Signs without permits

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail addressed to an officer of the company and the registered agent had both been accepted on February 4, 2004.

Mr. Skip Margerum, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there were unpermitted signs on the property. He recommended 7 days to comply or a fine of \$50.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 7 days or a fine of \$50.00 per day would be imposed.

### Reference CE03040933

Lillie Nevels	Sec. 9-306: Peeling paint/stained surfaces;
1107 Northwest 13 <sup>th</sup> Court	Sec. 9-281(b): Inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on
	property

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 12, 2004 and personal service had been made by Inspector Donovan on January 31, 2004.

Mr. Andre Cross, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the house had spots of bare concrete; Section 9-2812(b) was now complied. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 30 days to comply Section 9-306 or a fine of \$25.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with Section 9-306 within 30 days or a fine of \$25.00 per day. **Reference CE03051599** 

Washington Mutual Bank FA 1605 Northwest 11<sup>th</sup> Court Sec. 9-329(d): Required certificate of boarding

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 2, 2004.

Mr. Andre Cross, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the board up certificate for the property had not been renewed, nor had the property been repaired, rehabilitated or sold. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 30 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 30 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed.

### Reference CE03121630

Julmis JulesSec. 9-281(b): Unlicensed, inoperable vehicle on<br/>property1025 Northwest 10<sup>th</sup> Terraceproperty

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 4, 2004 and personal service had been made by Inspector Donovan on January 31, 2004.

Mr. Andre Cross, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was an unlicensed, inoperable Chevy van on the property. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 7 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 7 days, or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed.

#### Reference CE03120012

Vernon & Maureen Lewis 421 Arizona Avenue Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail was returned unclaimed on January 10, 15, and 25, 2004.

Mr. Burt Fletcher, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the front door was rotted and damaged by termites. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 14 days to comply or a fine of \$25.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 14 days, or a fine of \$25.00 per day would be imposed.

## Reference CE03121195

Jaime & Janel Gomez 905 Northeast 17<sup>th</sup> Court Sec. 9-281(b): Unlicensed vehicle on property

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail was accepted on January 26, 2004.

Mr. Burt Fletcher, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was an unlicensed, presumed inoperable vehicle 2-door Lincoln on the property. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 7 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day or the vehicle would be towed.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 7 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed or the vehicles would be towed.

## Reference CE03111157

Osmond & Flora Baptiste 912 Northwest 17 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	Sec. 47-21.8: Missing ground cover; Sec. 47-34.4 B.3.a: Commercial vehicle parked/stored on property; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: vehicle storage; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens:
	Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens;
	Sec. 9-306: Peeling paint/stained surfaces

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 3, 2004.

Mr. Mike Donovan, Community Inspections Officer, testified that he had discussed the violations with the owner, who stipulated that the violations existed as cited. He presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 45 days to comply or a fine of \$50.00 per day per violation.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 45 days, or a fine of \$50.00 per day, per violation would be imposed.

### Reference CE03111159

Roosevelt Mathis 616 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Way Sec. 9-281(b): Unlicensed, inoperable vehicles on property

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been returned unclaimed on January 21, 26, and February 5, 2004.

Mr. Mike Donovan, Community Inspections Officer, testified that Mr. Mathis had come into Mr. Donovan's office and they had agreed that Mr. Donovan would recommend 14 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 14 days or fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed.

## Reference CE03121242

George & Marie Fong Yee 728 Northeast 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue Sec. 9-281(b): Trash and overgrowth on property

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail was accepted on February 5, 2004.

Ms. Ursula Thime, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was trash and overgrowth on the property. She recommended 14 days to comply or a fine of \$25.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and order compliance in 21 days or a fine of \$50.00 per day would be imposed.

## Reference CE03071017

Joscelyn & Hyacinthe Solomon	Sec. 9-306: Peeling paint/stained surfaces;
1781 Lauderdale Manors Drive	Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable,
	unlicensed vehicle on property

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail was accepted on January 31, 2004.

Ms. Cheryl Pingitore, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the building had areas of peeling, dirty paint and there was trash and rubbish on the property; the vehicle had been removed. Ms. Pingitore presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1. She had spoken with the owner and agreed to recommend 21 days to comply or a fine of \$50.00 per day, per violation.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 21 days, or a fine of \$50.00 per day would be imposed.

### Reference CE03110821

Mary & W. Aiken 2730 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Court Sec. 9-281(b): Several unlicensed, inoperable vehicles on property

Ms. Bazer announced that personal service had been made by Inspector Margerum on January 18, 2004.

Ms. Cheryl Pingitore, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was an unlicensed 4-door white Toyota on the property. Ms. Pingitore presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 7 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day or the vehicle would be towed.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 7 days, or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed or the car would be towed.

## Reference CE03121020

Nozzie Adams	Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property;
1061 Northwest 25 <sup>th</sup> Way	Sec. 47-21.8 A: Missing ground cover

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 4, 2004.

Ms. Cheryl Pingitore, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the yard was bare sand with no living ground cover; Section 18-27(a) was now complied. She presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 45 days to comply Section 47-21.8 or a fine of \$50.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with Section 47-21.8 A within 45 days or fine of \$50.00 per day would be imposed.

### Reference CE04010249

Roberta Schmidt	Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable,
1706 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	unlicensed vehicle on property

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 7, 2004.

Ms. Cheryl Pingitore, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was trash, rubbish, and an unlicensed, inoperable green Pontiac on the property. She presented photographs of the property that were accepted into evidence as City composite exhibit 1 and recommended 7 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day or the vehicle would be towed.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 7 days, or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed or the vehicle would be towed.

Helen & C.E. Sanders	Sec. 9-281(b): Unlicensed, inoperable vehicle on
1040 Northwest 23 <sup>rd</sup> Road	property

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 30, 2004.

Ms. Cheryl Pingitore, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was an unlicensed, inoperable black Ford pickup on the property. She had spoken with the owner and agreed to recommended 10 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day or the vehicle would be towed.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 10 days, or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed or the vehicle would be towed.

### Reference CE00110629

Carol B. Story Estate 717 Southeast 14<sup>th</sup> Court Request for Extension

Ms. Bazer announced that Ms. Carol Schweitzer, representative of the estate, had come by the office.

Ms. Tell granted a 60-day continuance.

Downtown West Villas LTD	Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license;
721 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property;
	Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property;
	Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service;
	Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person;
	Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair;
	Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved
	enclosure;
	Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair;
	Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping;
	Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance;
	Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping;
	Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of
unlicensed, inoperable vehicles;	
	Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens;
	Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair;
Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disr	
	unlicensed vehicle on property;
	Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained
Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail	had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Mr. Mike Donovan, Community Inspections Officer, testified there were unlicensed, inoperable vehicles and/or trailers on the property; there was trash and overgrowth on the property and swale; there was no trash removal service; there was no on-site manager; the sidewalk was in disrepair and not maintained; the dumpster was not kept in an approved enclosure; the parking area was not maintained; the property did not meet City landscape codes; ground cover was missing, and the property did not meet retro landscape requirements; Sections 15-28, 47-34.1 A.1, 9-278(g), 9-280(b), 9-280(f), 9-280(g), 9-281(b), and 9-306 were now complied. He recommended that the compliance dates and fines be handled as Mr. Goodman and Mr. Mangar's cases had.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with 18-1, 18-27, 24-28(a), 24-4, 25-56(b), 47-19.4 D.1, and 47-20.20 H within 60 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed. All 3 of the 47-21 sections must also be complied in 60 days but would be fined at \$100.00 per day combined, not per violation.

## Reference CE04011179

Lancet Loftus & Co. 733 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	<ul> <li>Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license;</li> <li>Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property;</li> <li>Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property;</li> <li>Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service;</li> <li>Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person;</li> <li>Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure;</li> <li>Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping;</li> <li>Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles;</li> <li>Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens;</li> <li>Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable,</li> </ul>

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

## Reference CE04011204

Joseph & Concetta Costangna

Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license;

729 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair; Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure: Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable. unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 3, 2004.

## Reference CE03071954

Elibien & Isabelle Bien-Aime	Request for Extension
1506 Northwest 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	-

Ms. Bazer announced that this case was originally heard on January 15, 2004 with compliance ordered by February 15, 2004.

Ms. Tell granted a 30-day extension.

### Reference CE03090321

Eric & Bridget Harris 1405 Northwest 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue Sec. 9-280(b): Roof shingles in disrepair

Ms. Bazer announced that personal service had been made by Inspector Haskins on February 17, 2004.

Ms. Deborah Haskins, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the roof shingles were in disrepair and loose. She recommended 60 days to comply or a fine of \$25.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 60 days, or a fine of \$25.00 per day would be imposed.

#### Reference CE03121263

Angel & Pauline Diaz	Sec. 47-20.13 A: Driveway in disrepair;
1444 Northwest 3 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue	Sec. 9-313: Required display of address

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted (no date on card). Ms. Deborah Haskins, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the driveway was not in a smooth and well-graded condition; Section 9-313 was complied. She recommended 30 days to comply Section 47-20.13 A or a fine of \$25.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance with Section 47-20.13.A within 30 days, or a fine of \$25.00 per day would be imposed.

### Reference CE03121863

Trevor & Althea Carvalho	Sec. 47-21.8 A: Missing ground cover;
1436 Northwest 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish and trash on property

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail was accepted on February 5, 2004. Ms. Deborah Haskins, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the trees and foliage in the rear of the property were not maintained and there was trash and overgrowth in the rear of the property. She recommended 14 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and compliance within 14 days or fine of \$100.00 per day, per violation would be imposed.

#### Reference CE03121903

Dov Kagan	Sec. 47-21.8 A: Missing ground cover;
1121 Northeast 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue	Sec. 9-280(h)(1): Fence in disrepair;
	Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash and overgrowth
	on property;
	Sec. 9-306: Peeling paint/stained surfaces

Ms. Bazer announced that personal service had been made by Inspector Haskins on February 9, 2004. Ms. Deborah Haskins, Community Inspections Officer, testified that a stipulated agreement had been reached with the owner.

Sec. 47-21.8 A: Missing ground cover; 90 Days/\$100.00 per day. Sec. 9-280(h)(1): Fence in disrepair; 14 days;\$100.00 per day. Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash and overgrowth on property; 14 days;\$100.00 per day. Sec. 9-306: Peeling paint/stained surfaces; 90 Days/\$100.00 per day.

# Reference CE04011157

Randy Goodman & Dale Morrison Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; 716 Northwest 14<sup>th</sup> Terrace Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair; Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure; Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair; Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping; Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance; Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping; Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles; Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens; Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair; Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property;

Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

Randy & Evelyn Goodman 704 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	<ul> <li>Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license;</li> <li>Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property;</li> <li>Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property;</li> <li>Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service;</li> <li>Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person;</li> <li>Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure;</li> <li>Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance;</li> <li>Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping;</li> <li>Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of unlicensed, inoperable vehicles;</li> <li>Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens;</li> <li>Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair;</li> </ul>
--	---

> Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing; Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair; Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property; Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

## Reference CE04011172

Dale Morrison 712 Northwest 14 <sup>th</sup> Terrace	Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license; Sec. 18-1: Derelict vehicle on property; Sec. 18-27(a): Trash on property; Sec. 24-28(a): Required trash removal service; Sec. 24-4: No building maintenance person; Sec. 25-56(b): Sidewalk in disrepair;
	Sec. 47-19.4 D.1: Dumpster not in approved enclosure;
	Sec. 47-20.20 H: Parking area in disrepair;
	Sec. 47-21.10 B.1: Required landscaping;
	Sec. 47-21.8: Landscape maintenance;
	Sec. 47-21.9 G.1: Code-required landscaping;
	Sec. 47-34.1 A.1: Permitted uses: storage of
	unlicensed, inoperable vehicles;
	Sec. 9-278(g): Missing/torn screens;
	Sec. 9-280(b): Structure or Fixtures in disrepair;
	Sec. 9-280(f): Deteriorated plumbing;
	Sec. 9-280(g): Electrical components in disrepair;
	Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, and inoperable,
	unlicensed vehicle on property;
	Sec. 9-306: Structure not maintained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on January 29, 2004.

## Reference CE03091511

George McKee 1705 Davie Boulevard	Request for Extension of Time
Ms. Tell granted a 60-day extension.	
Reference CE04011271	

Halliday Group 2966 Northwest 60<sup>th</sup> Street

Sec. 15-28: Required occupational license

Tenant: Eagle Aircraft Services, Inc.

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail addressed to the owner and the tenant had both been accepted on January 30, 2004.

Linda Nigg, Occupational License Inspector, testified that the company was engaged in business without the required occupational license. She recommended compliance within 14 days or a fine of \$150.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 14 days or a fine of \$150.00 per day would be imposed.

## Reference CE03120350

Michael Gordon & Jason Neitzel	Sec. 9-281(b): Unlicensed, inoperable vehicle on
716 SW 16 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	property; Sec. 24-27(b): Garbage carts left out
	after service

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail was accepted on January 30, 2004.

Mr. Dan Mullarkey, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there were two inoperable black Mazdas on the property and the garbage carts were continually left out after service. He recommended 7 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day per violation.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 7 days, or a fine of \$100.00, per violation per day would be imposed.

## Reference CE03101283

Jason Silver 900 Orange Isle Sec. 9-280(d): Roof stained

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail was accepted on January 22, 2004.

Mr. Alberto Benavides, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the roof was stained black. He recommended 21 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 21 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed.

Felix Rodolfo Garcia	Sec. 9-281(b): Rubbish, trash, overgrowth, and
1208 Southwest 30 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	inoperable, unlicensed vehicle on property

Ms. Bazer announced that personal service was made by Inspector Malik on February 14, 2004.

Mr. John Hudak, Community Inspections Officer, testified that there was trash, rubbish and overgrowth on the property, including a derelict Ford pick up truck. He recommended 7 days to comply or a fine of \$100.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 7 days or a fine of \$100.00 per day would be imposed.

## Reference CE03091567

Jerry Keeler 813 West Las Olas Boulevard Sec. 24-27(b): Garbage carts left in unapproved location

Ms. Bazer announced that certified mail had been accepted on February 2, 2004.

Mr. Mike Maloney, Community Inspections Officer, testified that the garbage carts were continually left in an unapproved location. He recommended 5 days to comply or a fine of \$50.00 per day.

Ms. Tell found in favor of the City and ordered compliance within 5 days or a fine of \$50.00 per day would be imposed.

### Cases Complied

Ms. Bazer announced that the below listed cases were in compliance. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

CE04010385	CE04011154	CE03091179	CE04020257
CE04010767	CE04011485	CE04011499	CE03121033
CE03100995	CE04010608	CE03121784	CE03120837
CE03121855	CE03121960	CE04010068	CE03120130
CE03120714	CE03110838	CE03111116	CE03121382
CE03121865	CE04010171	CE04010549	CE03120312
CE03120920	CE02071685	CE03061643	CE03111652
CE03120316	CE03121570	CE03121824	CE04010998

### **Cases Pending Service**

Ms. Bazer announced that the below listed cases had been withdrawn pending service to the respondents. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

CE03102531	CE03102261	CE03100474	CE03100892
0200102001	OLOGIOLLOI		0200100002

CE03120674	CE03121615	CE04010609	CE03100775
CE03110269	CE04011181	CE04011202	CE03120822
CE03102015	CE03111230	CE03120032	CE04011168
CE03090758	CE03120117	CE03120687	CE03121569
CE04010621			

## **Cases Rescheduled**

Ms. Bazer announced that the below listed cases had been rescheduled. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

CE04011530	CE04020092	CE03091968	CE03092301
CE03100951	CE03120204	CE03110275	CE04010560
CE04010065			

## Cases Withdrawn

Ms. Bazer announced that the below listed cases had been withdrawn. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

CE03102439	CE03012513	CE03121942	CE03121944
CE03081164			

### Approved for Claim of Lien

Ms. Bazer presented Ms. Tell with the following 4 cases to sign the order to impose the fine which Ms. Tell signed based on the affidavits of the inspectors.

CE03061667	CE03080391	CE03091757	CE03101669

There being no further business, the hearing was adjourned at 2 P.M.

Special Master

ATTEST:

Clerk, Special Master